OJPed

Changes in confirmed plus borderline cases of congenital hypothyroidism in California as a function of environmental fallout from the Fukushima nuclear meltdown

Joseph Mangano[1], Janette Sherman[1], Christopher Busby[2]

[1]Radiation and Public Health Project, New York, USA
[2]Green Audit, Aberystwyth, UK
Email: odiejoe@aol.com

ABSTRACT

Radiation exposure has been linked to increased risk of congenital hypothyroidism (CH) for decades. CH is a relatively uncommon condition, occurring in about 1 of 2000 US births. Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH) levels for each child born in California permitted an analysis of combined confirmed and borderline CH cases. Borderline/confirmed CH cases are more than seven times greater than just confirmed cases. Airborne levels of gross beta nuclear radiation in the US were elevated in the period starting several days after the Fukushima nuclear meltdown, especially in west coast states like California. The borderline/confirmed CH rate for newborns during the last 9.5 months in 2011 (exposed to Fukushima *in utero*) vs. births during other periods in 2011 and 2012 (not exposed) was significantly elevated, suggesting that adverse health effects to the newborn thyroid were not restricted to just a small number of confirmed CH cases. The sensitivity of the fetus to radiation exposure, plus the presence of thyroid-seeking radioiodine, suggest further analysis of Fukushima's potential to cause adverse health effects in newborns is needed.

Keywords: Congenital Hypothyroidism; Fukushima Dai-Ichi; Nuclear Meltdown; Fetal Thyroid

1. INTRODUCTION

Risk of developing congenital hypothyroidism (CH) has been linked to fetal exposure in several populations. The earliest elevated CH rates were documented after exposures to high doses of radioiodine. These populations include residents of the Marshall Islands exposed to 1954 hydrogen bomb tests [1,2] as well as subsequent nuclear tests [3-6].

Similar risk of disease was found in Gomel, Belarus, proximate to the 1986 meltdown of the Chernobyl nuclear reactor [7]. A twofold CH increase was documented downwind of the Three Mile Island nuclear plant in births during the nine months after the partial meltdown in 1979, while no change occurred upwind [8,9].

The heightened sensitivity of the fetus to radioactivity [10], the documented risk to human health after relatively low-dose exposure, and the known biochemical hazards of thyroid-seeking radioiodine isotopes jointly increase risk of CH in newborns exposed to radioactive iodine. In northwest US states, CH rates rose most sharply after Chernobyl, after sustaining the heaviest fallout in the nation, including I-131 detected in milk [11]. The main path of exposure to short-lived isotopes such as I-131 has long been acknowledged to be via dairy products due to radioactive fallout deposition on forage. The current CH rate in the four counties closest to the Indian Point (NY) power plant is approximately twice that of the US [12].

In a recent report, two of us (JM and JS) documented that the greatest concentrations of I-131 in US precipitation following the 2011 meltdown at the Fukushima nuclear plant occurred in the three Pacific coast states of California, Oregon, and Washington, along with Alaska and Hawaii [13]. Levels were much lower than those observed in Japan, but well above previous levels. The report showed that in the nine months following the arrival of Japanese fallout in the US, CH rates in the five states increased significantly (RR = 1.16, p < 0.03). The

Scientific Research

greatest increase occurred in the first three months after the meltdown (RR = 1.28, p < 0.04). There was no significant change in the other US states, which received lower doses of radioactivity.

Analysis of CH rates in the US is hampered somewhat because each of the 50 state newborn screening programs is operated independently, and each defines CH using its own criteria. Some states only measure thyrotropin, or Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH) in the baby's blood, while others measure thyroxine (T4) in addition to TSH. In addition, states assign different thresholds to define confirmed cases of hypothyroidism; thus, meaningful interstate analysis of rates is difficult.

Another shortcoming of analyzing CH rates is that the disorder is relatively rare. About 2000 US cases are confirmed annually out of about 4,000,000 live births, a ratio of 1:2000 [13]. This low number of cases makes it difficult to analyze any patterns within small geographic areas or short time periods. The definition of CH excludes "borderline" cases, that is, those whose thyroid hormone levels are abnormal but are not confirmed as CH for therapeutic purposes.

This report will compare CH rates—using a combination of confirmed and borderline cases—with changes in environmental radioactivity from Fukushima, within a single US state with a consistent definition of the disorder. This approach will allow a much larger number of cases to be used, making it more likely to obtain significant results.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

One of the five US states that received the greatest amount of environmental radioactive fallout from the Fukushima nuclear disaster is California, with an estimated 2012 census of 38,041,430 [14]. Slightly more than 500,000 births occur each year to California residents, representing about 1 in 8 US births [15].

The state of California operates a newborn screening program that analyzes blood from each newborn for multiple congenital disorders. The program confirms CH cases by using only TSH scores greater than 29.0 micro international units per milliliter (μIU/ml). Any child meeting this criterion is prescribed replacement thyroid hormone, to promote normal physical and mental development. On January 1, 2011, the state changed the assay method used to calculate TSH. Scores increased in most newborns, and thus the number of CH cases also increased [16].

The California newborn screening program provided the number of newborns by TSH score (all in MIU/ml) for each year from 2009 to 2012 (**Table 1**). The number of newborns is given by single units of TSH (0.0 to 0.9, 1.0 to 1.9, up to 29.0 and over).

California newborns with an elevated TSH score, but

Table 1. Number of newborns tested for thyroid stimulating hormone California, each year 2009-2012, by birth cohort.

Date of Birth	2009	2010	2011	2012
January 1 - March 16	106,160	101,018	99,953	99,122
March 17 - June 30	148,283	141,974	142,592	138,529
July 1 - December 31	266,050	260,355	252,874	259,056
Total with TSH	520,583	503,347	495,419	496,707
Births, Calif.	527,020	510,198	*	*

*Official numbers of births to state residents not known at time of publication; Source: Genetic Disease Screening Program, California Department of Public Health, May 8, 2013.

less than the 29.0 required for confirmation as CH are of interest, even though they are not prescribed replacement thyroid hormone. The number of these "borderline" CH cases will likely be much greater than confirmed cases.

Defining a universal threshold for borderline CH is not possible, because screening programs differ in the type of assay programs used, and thus TSH scores may vary by program. One recent study defined "mild" CH cases as those from 20 to 100 μIU/ml, [17] while another concluded that a number of CH cases have less than 10 μIU/ml of TSH [18]. In defining a threshold TSH level for borderline CH cases in California, we select a cautious range of 19.0 - 28.9 μIU/ml, or about 0.5% of all California newborns in 2011-2012. Adding the borderline cases increases the 2011-2012 CH total to 4670 (658 confirmed, 4012 borderline), more than a seven-fold rise.

It is unfortunate that there were limited and sporadic testing of Iodine-131 levels in milk, water and foodstuffs in California. It was therefore necessary to analyze the extent to which California, located on the Pacific coast, received greater amounts of fallout from the Fukushima meltdown than did the non-western portion of the US, from official government measurements of gross beta concentrations, detected through air filters, because of the large number of measurements for this type of radioactivity [19]. **Table 2** presents beta patterns for six [6] sites throughout California, compared to 22 US sites, each in a different state, none located in the western portion of the US, and all east of 112 degrees west longitude. Only sites with 1 - 2 measurements weekly through the first six months of each year were included. The period March 18 to April 17, 2011 revealed elevated levels of gross beta in US air, compared to normal values. We calculated ratios of gross beta for March 18 - April 17 compared to rest of the first six months of the year, and compared 2011 ratios to those of 2009-2010-2012. **Appendix 1** includes results for each site.

The average ratio for the six sites in California in the month after Fukushima vs. the remainder of the first half

Table 2. Ratios, March 18 - April 17 vs. other first six months of the year, gross beta concentrations in air filter samples, 2011 vs. 2009-2010-2012 Combined, in picocuries per Cubic meter, six California sites and 22 Non-Western US sites.

| | | | | | March 18 - April 17 | | |
| | Avg. Beta, 2011 | | Avg. Beta, 2009-10-12 | | vs. Other 1st Half Yr. | | 2011 vs. |
Area	3/18-4/17	Oth. 1st Half	3/18-4/17	Oth. 1st Half	2011	2009-10-12	2009-10-12
California	48.27×10^{-3} (54)	6.40×10^{-3} (190)	5.12×10^{-3} (119)	6.88×10^{-3} (547)	7.99	0.76	**10.53**
Other US	20.21×10^{-3} (179)	8.40×10^{-3} (547)	8.40×10^{-3} (526)	9.19×10^{-3} (2431)	2.34	0.92	**2.55**

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS).
http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/erams_query.simple_query

2011 was 7.99. In the other three years, the ratio was 0.76, as airborne beta concentrations during the winter months are typically greater than in the spring. Thus, California beta was 10.53 times greater than expected during the period March 18 - April 17, 2011 (7.99/0.76). For the other 22 US sites the 2011 average gross beta in the month after Fukushima was 2.34 times greater than the rest of the first half year, compared to 0.92 for 2009, 2010, and 2012. Thus, average beta was 2.55 times greater than expected (2.34/0.92), far less than the 10.53 figure for California sites.

Appendix 1 shows some variation in gross beta averages between California sites, but consistently higher concentrations in that state. The highest readings occurred at the station in Anaheim CA, a suburb of Los Angeles; 7 of the 17 measurements in the month after Fukushima were over 172.0^{-3} picocuries per cubic meter, compared to an average reading of 6.7^{-3} for that period in non-Fukushima years. The single high measurement in California was 276.0^{-3} on March 19, 2011. Fukushima fallout levels were greater in California than in most of the U.S., raising the question of whether health of humans, especially the fetus, was affected adversely.

The 2011-2012 change in the proportion of California births with a TSH score greater than 19.0 µIU/ml will be analyzed. Because births in the last 9.5 months of 2011 were exposed *in utero* to the elevated levels of radioactivity from Japan, we expect an elevated 2011-2012 reduction in the proportion of elevated TSH scores to occur in the births from March 17 - December 31. The cohort of persons born January 1 - March 16 was not affected *in utero* by Fukushima in 2011 or 2012.

3. RESULTS

The rate of newborns with a TSH score over 19.0 µIU/ml for various 2011 birth cohorts is given in **Table 3**. We were interested in comparing CH rates before and after presence of Fukushima fallout. However, because the state of California changed its assay method used to determine TSH scores on January 1, 2011, resulting in higher scores for many newborns in 2011, no meaningful

Table 3. Confirmed and borderline congenital hypothyroid incidence, by period of birth, California newborns, 2011 and 2012.

| | Newborns | TSH > 19 µIU/ml | TSH > 29 µIU/ml | |
Period	Tested	No., Per 100,000	No., Per 100,000	Exposed
1/1 - 3/16, 2011	99,953	500 500.2	69 69.0	N
3/17 - 6/30, 2011	142,592	777 544.9	94 65.9	Y
7/1 - 12/31, 2011	252,874	1360 537.8	200 79.1	Y
1/1 - 3/16, 2012	99,122	450 454.0	63 63.6	N
3/17 - 6/30, 2012	138,529	504 363.8	88 63.5	N
7/1 - 12/31, 2012	259,056	1079 416.5	144 55.6	N

Source: Genetic Disease Screening Program, California Department of Public Health, May 8, 2013.

comparison with prior years is possible.

In order to produce an analysis of newborns most affected by Fukushima fallout, the years 2011 and 2012 were divided into the periods January 1 to March 16, March 17 to June 30, and July 1 to December 31. **Table 3** includes the number of births in each of these periods by year and numbers and rates per 100,000 tested for newborns with TSH scores over 19 and over 29 µIU/ml. There was fairly complete coverage of newborns. Births with a known TSH score reported by the state program for 2009 and 2010 equaled 98.7% of the total births to California residents (final 2011 and 2012 birth totals are not yet known). We carried out statistical tests on the basis of periods which we defined as "exposed" (newborns born in the 9.5 months after Fukushima fallout arrived in the US) and "unexposed" (all other 2011 and 2012 newborns) in **Table 3**. Results of the statistical significance test, using a Mantel Haenszel chi-square test are given in **Table 4**.

The CH rate for the cohort born March 17 to December 31, 2011, or those exposed *in utero* to environmental radioactivity from Fukushima was significantly elevated from those born in other periods in 2011 and 2012, for confirmed cases (p < 0.013). Adding borderline cases to

Table 4. Statistical significance tests, California newborns, confirmed congenital hypothyroid cases (TSH > 29 μIU/ml), and confirmed plus borderline congenital hypothyroid cases (TSH > 19 μIU/ml), newborns March 17 - December 31, 2011 vs. rest of 2011 and 2012, using mantel Haenszel chi-square test.

TSH Level	Exposed	Unexposed	All Tested
>19 μIU/ml	2137	393,329	395,466
<19 μIU/ml	2533	594,127	596,660
RR = 1.27	CI (1.20 < RR < 1.35)	Chi sq = 67.9	p < 0.00000001
>29 μIU/ml	294	395,172	395,466
<29 μIU/ml	364	596,296	596,660

RR = 1.21, CI (1.04 < RR < 1.42), χ^2 = 6.15, p < 0.013.

confirmed cases resulted in a much higher level of statistical significance (p < 0.00000001).

Unexpectedly large 2011-2012 declines in borderline plus confirmed rates occurred in the newborn cohorts involving those exposed to Fukushima fallout *in utero*. The March 17 - June 30 decline was −33.2% (544.9 to 363.8 cases per 100,000 births), while the July 1 - December 31 decline was −22.6% (537.8 to 416.5 cases per 100,000 births). With much larger samples than just confirmed cases, a better understanding of the true change can be approached.

4. DISCUSSION

The presence of elevated concentrations of environmental radioactivity after the Fukushima nuclear meltdown in west coast and Pacific US states raises the question of whether exposure to this radioactivity harmed humans, especially the vulnerable fetus. We have already documented increased rates of newborns with a confirmed diagnosis of Congenital Hypothyroidism (CH) in this region during the nine months after the arrival of fallout. Each of these births was exposed to elevated radioactivity levels *in utero*.

Defining a clinical threshold for disease diagnosis is important, particularly as a marker to initiate treatment. So too are identifying "borderline" cases, whether it be used for individual patient treatment, population based health delivery, or as a means of understanding causality. Commonly employed tests, such as those for body mass index, cholesterol levels, blood pressure, and various immune system functions, are examples of the importance of both diagnosed and borderline cases.

Fetal exposure to radioiodine may raise risk of not just confirmed, but borderline CH, despite having to define borderline cases arbitrarily. In California, adding borderline cases (TSH between 19.0 and 28.9 μIU/ml) to those confirmed cases (TSH over 29.0) increases the number

of cases by more than seven fold.

Elevated levels of environmental radioactivity in the US reverted to typical concentrations after just several weeks in spring 2011. Moreover, most radioiodine from nuclear reactors consists of short-lived isotopes, most commonly I-131, with a half-life of just 8.05 days, suggesting that U.S. exposures to radioiodine from Fukushima occurred only in the spring of 2011. The significantly elevated levels of CH in California during the 9.5 months after fallout from the Japanese meltdown arrived was observed for both confirmed cases and the total of confirmed plus borderline cases. While both elevated rates were statistically significant, the confirmed plus borderline group was highly elevated as many more cases (4670) were included in 2011-2012, as opposed to just 658 confirmed cases.

The findings support research that the fetal thyroid gland is particularly vulnerable to adverse health effects from radiation exposure. The fetal thyroid, the first glandular structure to appear in the human embryo [20] begins to concentrate iodine and produce thyroid hormones by the 70th day of gestation. Proper brain development is dependent upon adequate thyroid function [21].

This report addressed doses to California fetuses from the meltdown at the Fukushima nuclear plant. While official US government data includes a limited number of measurements of radioactive iodine concentrations in air, water, or other environmental media, most of the highest levels were found in the western US. Our use of gross beta concentrations in air is less specific, as gross beta includes multiple beta-emitting radioactive chemicals, not just iodine, but also Cesium-137 and other radioisotopes. Our finding that the actual vs. expected ratio in California airborne beta in the month after Fukushima fallout arrived were over four times greater than in non-western sites (10.53 vs. 2.55 times above the expected) supports the understanding that the western and Pacific US received the greatest exposures, raising risk of subsequent adverse health effects. Measuring deciduous teeth for concentrations of Strontium-90, taken up late in pregnancy and after birth, is used to measure exposure. Studies of Sr-90 from atom bomb test fallout, the Chernobyl meltdown, and near reactors in the US and UK have provided valuable data on actual doses to humans [22-28].

More studies on potential adverse health effects to the thyroid gland, in areas proximate to and far from the Fukushima plant, are urgently needed. Japan's Fukushima Medical College is tracking local children under age 18. The project has already documented the presence of a thyroid nodule or cyst in 43.6% of 94,426 of these children [29]. It has also diagnosed 44 thyroid cancer cases since March 2011 out of 178,000 children 0 - 18

tested an annual rate of over 12 cases per 100,000 persons vs. an expected annual rate based on the analysis of the Japan Cancer Surveillance Group of 0.15 per 100,000 in 2005 [30]. This is a remarkably high Standardised Incidence Ratio of 80. More suspected child cancers have not yet been confirmed [31].

Studies should not be limited to the Fukushima area because doses in distant locations may be lower. Fallout from the meltdown crossed the Pacific Ocean and reached the US west coast in five [5] days, eventually circling the entire northern hemisphere. Thus, many were exposed to relatively low doses of Fukushima radioactivity—which nonetheless pose a risk to human health. In its last two reports on the topic, the Committee on Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR) concluded a linear no-threshold dose response exists between radiation and health risk, and upheld the heightened sensitivity of the fetus and infant [32,33]. Stewart and others demonstrated a near-doubling of childhood cancer mortality risk after exposure to *in utero* pelvic X-rays during pregnancy [34-36]. The US Institute of Medicine and National Research Council estimated as many as 212,000 Americans developed thyroid cancer after fetal/infant/childhood exposure to relatively low-dose radioactive I-131 from atmospheric nuclear weapons tests over the Nevada desert [37,38].

5. CONCLUSION

Although less than three years have elapsed since the meltdown, health effects of low-dose exposures from fallout should be analyzed, especially for those in the earliest stages of life. Health status measures after March 2011 such as infant deaths, neonatal deaths, birth defects, stillbirths, low-weight births, premature births, and cancers in the first year of life can be analyzed. Short-term findings of the young can serve as a warning about potential long-term adverse health effects on populations of all ages.

REFERENCES

[1]   Cronkite, E.P., Conard, R.A. and Bond, V.P. (1997) Historical events associated with fallout from Bravo shot—Operation castle and 25Y of medical findings. *Health Physics*, **73**, 176-186.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004032-199707000-00014

[2]   Cronkite, E.P., Bond, V.P. and Conard, R.A. (1995) Medical effects of exposure of human beings to fallout radiation from a thermonuclear explosion. *Stem Cells*, **13**, 49-57.

[3]   Van Middlesworth, L. (1956) Radioactivity in thyroid glands following nuclear weapons tests. *Science*, **123**, 982-983. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.123.3205.982

[4]   Comar, C.L., Trum, B.F., Kuhn, U.S., Wasserman, R.H., Nold, M.M. and Schooley, J.C. (1957) Thyroid radioactivity after nuclear weapons tests. *Science*, **126**, 16-18.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.126.3262.16

[5]   Beierwaltes, W.H., Cranae, H.R., Wegst, A., Spafford, N.R. and Carr, E.A. (1960) Radioactive iodine concentration in the fetal human thyroid gland from fall-out. *JAMA*, **173**, 1895-1902.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1960.03020350013003

[6]   Beierwaltes, W.H., Hilger, M.T. and Wegst, A. (1963) Radioactive concentrations in fetal human thyroid from fallout. *Health Physics*, **9**, 1263-1269.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004032-196312000-00030

[7]   Williams, D. (1996) Chernobyl and hypothyroidism. *Lancet*, **348**, 476.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)64570-9

[8]   McLeod, G. (1982) A role for public health in the nuclear age. *American Journal of Public Health*, **72**, 237-239.
http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.72.3.237

[9]   McLeod, G.K. (1981) Some public health lessons from Three Mile Island: A case study in chaos. *Ambio*, **1**, 18-23.

[10] US Environmental Protection Agency (2003) Assessing cancer susceptibility from early-life exposure to carconogens. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/nceapubarchive.cfm

[11] Mangano, J.J. (1996) Chernobyl and hypothyroidism. *Lancet*, **347**, 1482-1483.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(96)91716-X

[12] Mangano, J.J. (2009) Newborn hypothyroidism near the Indian Point nuclear plant.
www.radiation.org/reading/pubs/091125/hypothyroid_indianpoint.pdf

[13] Mangano, J.J. and Sherman, J.D. (2013) Elevated airborne beta levels in Pacific/West Coast US states and trends in hypothyroidism among newborns after the Fukushima nuclear meltdown. *Open Journal of Pediatrics*, **3**, 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojped.2013.31001

[14] US Bureau of the Census State and County Quick Facts.
http:////quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06000.html

[15] US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Births.
http://wonder.cdc.gov/natality.html

[16] California Department of Public Health (2013) Genetic disease screening program.

[17] Levitssky, L.L. and Straussman, S. (2012) Congenital hypothyroidism—Monitoring thyroid function in infants. *European Endocrinology*, **8**, 53-56.

[18] Langham, S., Hindmarsh, P., Krywawych, S. and Peters, C. (2013) Screening for congenital hypothyroidism: Comparison of borderline screening cut-off points and the effect on the number of children treated with levothyroxine. *European Thyroid Journal*, **2**, 180-186.

[19] US Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS).
http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/erams_query.simple_query

[20] Arey, L.B. (1954) Developmental anatomy. W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 237-238.

[21] Howdeshell, K. (2002) A model of the development of the brain as a construct of the thyroid system. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **110**, 337-348.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.02110s3337

[22] Rosenthal, H.L. (1969) Accumulation of environmental strontium-90 in teeth of children. *Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Hanford Biology Symposium at Richland Washington*, Washington DC, 5-8 May 1969, US Atomic Energy Commission.

[23] Rytomaa, I. (1972) Strontium-90 in deciduous teeth collected in northern Finland from children born in 1952-1964. *Acta Onkologica Scandanivia*, **30**, 219-233. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/00016357208997461

[24] Aarkrog, A. (1968) Strontium-90 in shed dedicuous teeth collected in Danmark, the Faroes, and Greenland from children born in 1950-1958. *Health Physics*, **15**, 105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004032-196808000-00001

[25] Kulev, Y.D., Polikarpov, G.G., Prigodey, E.V. and Assimakopoulos, P.A. (1994) Strontium-90 concentration in human teeth in South Ukraine, 5 years after the Chernobyl accident. *The Science of the Total Environment*, **155**, 214-219. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(94)90500-2

[26] Stamoulis, K.C., Assimakopoulos, P.A., Ioanides, K.G., Johnson, E. and Soucacos, P.N. (1999) Strontium-90 concentration measurements in human bones and teeth in Greece. *The Science of the Total Environment*, **229**, 165-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00052-2

[27] Mangano, J.J., Gould, J.M., Sternglass, E.J., Sherman, J.D. and McDonnell, W. (2003) An unexpected rise in strontium-90 in US deciduous teeth in the 1990s. *The Science of the Total Environment*, **317**, 37-51. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00439-X

[28] O'Donnell, R.G., Mitchell, P.L., Priest, N.D., Strange, L., Fox, A., Henshaw, D.L. and Long, S.C. (1997) Variations in the concentration of plutonium, strontium-90, and total alpha-emitters in human teeth collected within the British Isles. *The Science of the Total Environment*, **201**, 235-243. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(97)84060-0

[29] Fukushima Medical University (April 2012 - January 2013) Thyroid screening results: Cysts. http://www.fmu.ac.jp/radiationhealth/results/media/10-2_ThyroidUE.pdf

[30] Matsuda, T., Marugame, T., Kamo, K-I., Katanoda, K., Wakiko, A. and Sobue, T. (2011) Japan Cancer Surveillance Research Group. Cancer incidence and incidence rates in Japan 2005: Based on data from 12 population-based cancer registries in the monitoring of cancer incidence in Japan (MCIJ) project. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*, **41**, 139-147. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jjco/hyq169

[31] Japan Daily Press (2013) Six more young people from Fukushima diagnosed with thyroid cancer. http://japandailypress.com/six-more-young-people-from-fukushima-diagnosed-with-thyroid-cancer-2134350/

[32] Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation, National Research Council (1990) Health effects of exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation (BEIR V). National Academy Press, Washington DC.

[33] Committee on the Biological Effects of Radiation, National Research Council of the National Archives (2005) Health risks from exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation (BEIR VII). The National Academies Press, Washington DC.

[34] Stewart, A. (1956) Malignant disease in childhood and diagnostic irradiation *in utero*. *Lancet*, **2**, 447. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(56)91923-7

[35] Stewart, A., Webb, J. and Hewitt, D. (1958) A survey of childhood malignancies. *BMJ*, **i**, 1495-1508. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.5086.1495

[36] MacMahon, B. (1962) Prenatal x-ray exposure and childhood cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **28**, 1173-1192.

[37] National Cancer Institute (1997) Estimated exposures and thyroid doses received by the American people from iodine 131 in fallout following nevada atmospheric nuclear bomb tests: A report from the National Cancer Institute. US Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda. http://www.cancer.gov/i131/fallout/contents.html

[38] Institute of Medicine and National Research Council (1999) Exposure of the American people to iodine-131 from Nevada atomic bomb tests: Review of the National Cancer Institute Report and Public Health Implications., National Academy Press, Washington DC. http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?isbn=030906175X

Appendix 1. Ratios, March 18 - April 17 vs. other first half year, gross beta concentrations in air filter samples, 2011 vs. 2009-2010-2012 combined, in picocuries per cubic meter, six California sites vs. 22 Non-Western US sites.

	Avg. Beta, 2011		Avg. Beta, 2009-10-12		March 18 - April 17 vs. Other 1st Half Yr.		2011 vs.
Site	3/18 - 4/17	Oth. 1H	3/18 - 4/17	Oth. 1H	2011	2009-10-12	2009-10-12
CALIFORNIA							
Anaheim	113.5×10^{-3} (17)	6.1×10^{-3} (27)	6.7×10^{-3} (19)	9.8×10^{-3} (81)	18.61	0.68	27.39
Bakersfield	59.1×10^{-3} (7)	12.1×10^{-3} (39)	6.7×10^{-3} (22)	9.7×10^{-3} (101)	4.87	0.69	7.02
Richmond	21.0×10^{-3} (6)	5.9×10^{-3} (23)	4.1×10^{-3} (14)	5.6×10^{-3} (62)	3.57	0.73	4.91
Riverside	43.9×10^{-3} (9)	6.3×10^{-3} (41)	6.4×10^{-3} (24)	7.2×10^{-3} (122)	7.02	0.89	7.93
San Francisco	29.2×10^{-3} (9)	3.2×10^{-3} (31)	3.2×10^{-3} (18)	3.7×10^{-3} (81)	9.16	0.87	10.58
San Jose	22.9×10^{-3} (6)	4.9×10^{-3} (29)	3.8×10^{-3} (22)	5.4×10^{-3} (100)	4.72	0.70	6.74
AVERAGE	48.27×10^{-3} (54)	6.40×10^{-3} (190)	5.12×10^{-3} (119)	6.88×10^{-3} (547)	7.99	0.76	**10.53**
OTHER US							
Albany NY	24.2×10^{-3} (8)	9.1×10^{-3} (41)	9.9×10^{-3} (26)	9.7×10^{-3} (113)	2.66	1.02	2.60
Baltimore MD	19.6×10^{-3} (9)	8.6×10^{-3} (35)	9.1×10^{-3} (21)	9.9×10^{-3} (99)	2.27	0.92	2.47
Baton Rouge LA	11.4×10^{-3} (8)	6.0×10^{-3} (38)	6.2×10^{-3} (26)	7.1×10^{-3} (119)	1.90	0.88	2.17
Bismarck ND	13.6×10^{-3} (8)	6.9×10^{-3} (35)	8.2×10^{-3} (20)	9.0×10^{-3} (98)	1.96	0.92	2.14
Charlotte NC	12.7×10^{-3} (9)	7.5×10^{-3} (38)	8.8×10^{-3} (21)	8.8×10^{-3} (117)	1.71	1.00	1.71
Cleveland OH	16.0×10^{-3} (8)	7.7×10^{-3} (40)	10.9×10^{-3} (19)	10.4×10^{-3} (122)	2.08	1.04	1.99
Dallas TX	24.0×10^{-3} (9)	8.9×10^{-3} (34)	8.6×10^{-3} (23)	9.3×10^{-3} (119)	2.71	0.93	2.92
Des Moines IA	41.7×10^{-3} (8)	11.3×10^{-3} (43)	7.9×10^{-3} (24)	9.1×10^{-3} (87)	3.68	0.87	4.92
Detroit MI	21.4×10^{-3} (8)	8.0×10^{-3} (41)	8.1×10^{-3} (27)	8.6×10^{-3} (120)	2.68	0.94	2.68
Dover DE	10.7×10^{-3} (7)	6.7×10^{-3} (33)	6.6×10^{-3} (21)	7.8×10^{-3} (87)	1.59	0.84	1.88
Edison NJ	12.2×10^{-3} (9)	5.6×10^{-3} (35)	5.9×10^{-3} (26)	5.8×10^{-3} (104)	2.21	1.01	2.18
Indianapolis IN	30.8×10^{-3} (8)	10.4×10^{-3} (43)	8.4×10^{-3} (27)	9.3×10^{-3} (124)	2.95	0.91	3.25
Jackson MS[*]	17.4×10^{-3} (9)	9.4×10^{-3} (39)	8.4×10^{-3} (25)	9.5×10^{-3} (119)	1.85	0.88	2.09
Jacksonville FL	12.5×10^{-3} (8)	6.6×10^{-3} (33)	6.8×10^{-3} (25)	6.8×10^{-3} (104)	1.88	1.00	1.89
Jefferson City MO	21.2×10^{-3} (8)	9.1×10^{-3} (40)	9.0×10^{-3} (24)	10.0×10^{-3} (116)	2.33	0.90	2.61
Lincoln NE	34.3×10^{-3} (6)	7.6×10^{-3} (34)	8.7×10^{-3} (24)	9.9×10^{-3} (103)	4.52	0.89	5.10
Little Rock AR	16.1×10^{-3} (8)	10.8×10^{-3} (37)	8.9×10^{-3} (24)	10.3×10^{-3} (96)	1.49	0.86	1.72
Lynchburg VA	33.7×10^{-3} (8)	10.4×10^{-3} (42)	9.0×10^{-3} (27)	10.0×10^{-3} (120)	3.24	0.90	3.62
Oak Ridge TN[*]	22.6×10^{-3} (8)	10.7×10^{-3} (39)	10.0×10^{-3} (25)	12.3×10^{-3} (116)	2.12	0.81	2.62
Pierre SD	16.7×10^{-3} (9)	8.6×10^{-3} (41)	8.3×10^{-3} (21)	9.7×10^{-3} (116)	1.95	0.84	2.26
Topeka KS	23.3×10^{-3} (8)	11.9×10^{-3} (43)	10.4×10^{-3} (23)	12.1×10^{-3} (111)	1.96	0.86	2.28
Washington DC	8.7×10^{-3} (8)	4.9×10^{-3} (40)	6.7×10^{-3} (27)	6.8×10^{-3} (121)	1.76	0.97	1.81
AVERAGE	20.21×10^{-3} (179)	8.48×10^{-3} (844)	8.40×10^{-3} (526)	9.19×10^{-3} (2431)	2.34	0.92	**2.55**

[*]Jackson Department of Environmental Quality site only; Oak Ridge Y-12W site only; Source: US Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS). http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/erams_query.simple_query.