Scientific Research Publishing

Open Journal of Business and Management, 2026, 14(5), 2589-2610
https://www.scirp.org/journal/ojbm
ISSN Online: 2329-3292
ISSN Print: 2329-3284

Enhancing Healthcare Organizational Performance through Strategic Communication, Stakeholder Engagement, and Transformational Leadership: A Qualitative Study

Rushell L. Bryce, Caitlin Byrd

Business Administration Faculty, Walden University, Minneapolis, MN, USA
Email: rushellbryce@gmail.com, Caitlin.byrd@mail.waldenu.edu

How to cite this paper: Bryce, R. L., & Byrd, C. (2026). Enhancing Healthcare Organizational Performance through Strategic Communication, Stakeholder Engagement, and Transformational Leadership: A Qualitative Study. *Open Journal of Business and Management, 14,* 2589-2610.
https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2026.145132

Received: July 13, 2026
Accepted: August 25, 2026
Published: August 28, 2026

Abstract

The various components of Healthcare organizations operate in increasingly complex environments, making effective leadership essential for delivering high-quality services and sustaining organizational performance. Despite the well-established value of leadership, some healthcare leaders still struggle to implement strategies that effectively enhance communication, stakeholder engagement, and overall service quality. This qualitative project examined how healthcare leaders use strategic communication and stakeholder engagement to strengthen organizational performance and improve service quality. The project was informed by strategic communication, stakeholder, and transformational leadership theories as its conceptual framework. The study may support positive social change by highlighting leadership practices that can improve patient care, bolster organizational responsiveness, and foster more equitable and coordinated health care delivery systems. The findings offer practical guidance for healthcare leaders striving to enhance service quality and organizational effectiveness in complex healthcare settings.

Keywords

Transformational Leadership, Healthcare Organizational Performance, Strategic Communication, Stakeholder Engagement, Service Quality, Healthcare Leaders, Leadership Practices

1. Introduction

In the current global economic climate, healthcare organizations operate amid increasingly complex conditions shaped by rapid technological progress, growing patient expectations, workforce shortages, rising operational costs, supply chain disruptions, regulatory demands, and financial pressures. These challenges have intensified as health systems seek to provide high-quality, accessible, and sustainable care while responding to changing demographic and epidemiological requirements (Jones & Dolsten, 2024). This growing pressure reflects a structural mismatch between escalating population health needs and the capacity of healthcare delivery systems to respond efficiently without undermining performance outcomes. Aging populations, increasing multimorbidity, and the continued presence of health inequities intensify demand-side pressures, whereas supply-side limitations, such as workforce shortages, fiscal constraints, and fragmented care coordination, continue to restrict organizational responsiveness.

Within the scope of strategic communication and stakeholder engagement, the rising complexity of health care delivery has increased the need for structured, leadership-led coordination mechanisms to align internal and external stakeholders around shared performance and service quality goals. World Health Organization (WHO) (2023) highlights that strategic communication is a core function of effective health system governance, facilitating alignment of messaging, stakeholder expectations, and organizational priorities across multiple levels of the health ecosystem. Rather than being a peripheral administrative task, strategic communication is an integrative process that enables transparency, supports trust-building, and promotes coordinated action among a range of health system actors. Accordingly, failures in communication processes are increasingly viewed as drivers of fragmentation in care delivery and inefficiencies in service provision.

Healthcare leaders are increasingly expected to adopt deliberate and adaptable approaches to stakeholder engagement that systematically incorporate the perspectives of clinical practitioners, administrative leadership, and community stakeholders, ensuring that service delivery models remain both responsive and sustainable. Current peer-reviewed research indicates that effective collaboration among key health system actors, especially researchers, policymakers, and implementation stakeholders, improves alignment in decision-making processes and enhances the translation of evidence into practice (Subahe, Baker, & Polman, 2026). Such structured engagement approaches are also linked to stronger coordination, better accountability, and the creation of shared responsibility for quality improvement and performance outcomes across complex health systems. Consequently, the argument advanced by Jones and Dolsten (2024) aligns with the emerging scholarly consensus that adaptive leadership approaches, anchored in strategic communication and purposeful stakeholder alignment, are critical determinants of organizational performance and service quality improvement within increasingly complex and resource-constrained healthcare ecosystems. Therefore, stakeholder engagement extends beyond consultation to function as a strategic governance

mechanism that enables coherence between policy intent and operational execution.

2. Importance of Communication and Stakeholder Engagement in Healthcare Management

In healthcare management, effective communication and stakeholder engagement are core mechanisms that support the delivery of high-quality patient care and the attainment of operational efficiency across organizational systems. Empirical findings support this view, showing that structured stakeholder engagement in healthcare, especially in patient-centered outcomes research, raises the relevance, quality, and real-world usefulness of care delivery by systematically embedding a range of stakeholder perspectives into decision-making processes. In doing so, it improves organizational effectiveness and strengthens overall health system performance (Maurer et al., 2022). These results indicate that stakeholder engagement operates as a key governance mechanism in healthcare systems: it improves decision quality through inclusive input, increases implementation fidelity, and thereby enhances both clinical and organizational outcomes. Consequently, these insights underscore the necessity for healthcare leaders to institutionalize structured stakeholder engagement practices as a strategic means of improving care quality and sustaining organizational performance. Strategic communication and stakeholder engagement are widely acknowledged as essential leadership competencies in modern healthcare management, particularly in complex and high-reliability health systems.

Strategic communication is defined as the intentional and coordinated use of communication by an organization to achieve its mission by aligning internal and external stakeholders, integrating communication efforts, and advancing organizational goals through planned messaging and engagement strategies (Hallahan et al., 2007). Within healthcare, this role goes beyond distributing information to include shaping meaning, managing expectations, and building shared understanding among multidisciplinary teams and external partners. Recent systematic evidence also shows that the type and quality of communication are directly linked to patient safety outcomes, and that communication breakdowns play a major role in preventable adverse events and in variation in care delivery performance (Bennett-Weston et al., 2025). Accordingly, strategic communication is increasingly viewed as a central governance and performance mechanism rather than a peripheral administrative task, as it affects coordination, role clarity, and organizational reliability within healthcare systems. This body of evidence highlights communication as a core driver of organizational reliability rather than a peripheral administrative function.

Stakeholder engagement builds on strategic communication by prioritizing structured participation and collaboration among individuals and groups who influence or are affected by healthcare decisions. These stakeholders include healthcare professionals, patients, community representatives, and policymakers, and they

collectively help determine health system priorities and the effectiveness of implementation. Recent scholarship emphasizes that meaningful stakeholder engagement improves health outcomes by strengthening communication channels, increasing trust, and enabling more inclusive and responsive decision-making (Pellegrini & Lovati, 2025). Moreover, engagement approaches that include feedback loops and participatory mechanisms are associated with better alignment between organizational aims and stakeholder expectations. In addition, methodological advances in this area underscore the importance of understanding communication mechanisms and the pathways through which they influence patient safety and organizational performance. The protocol created by Howick et al. (2024) offers a structured approach for assessing how communication processes affect safety outcomes via identifiable causal pathways, reinforcing the need for rigorous, evidence-based methods in communication research within healthcare systems. Taken together, the literature suggests that combining strategic communication with strong stakeholder engagement is critical for improving coordination, strengthening service quality, and achieving sustainable performance gains in complex healthcare environment.

2.1. Statement of the Problem

Although the importance of leadership in strengthening healthcare organizations' performance is well established, recent empirical findings suggest that substantial gaps remain in some healthcare leaders' capacity to implement effective strategies that improve service quality and operational results. Current research shows that shortcomings in the type and quality of communication are directly linked to a higher frequency of patient safety incidents, underscoring the essential function of effective communication in reducing preventable harm and improving care delivery processes (Keshtkar et al., 2025). At the same time, limited stakeholder engagement and disjointed coordination among key health system actors continue to weaken organizational alignment, leading to inefficiencies in decision-making, lower workforce engagement, and variation in service delivery outcomes.

Additional evidence indicates that strategic communication is a core component of effective health system governance. World Health Organization (WHO) (2023) highlights that structured, deliberate communication practices are necessary to align stakeholders, develop trust, and support the consistent enactment of organizational priorities across complex healthcare settings. Moreover, recent scholarly work suggests that insufficient collaboration among healthcare leaders, policymakers, and other stakeholders impedes the translation of strategic intentions into operational effectiveness, which in turn constrains system-wide performance improvements (Subahe, Baker, & Polman, 2026). Taken together, these results indicate that communication and stakeholder engagement difficulties persist and are measurable contributors to substandard healthcare performance.

The business problem at hand is that some healthcare leaders lack effective strategies to improve organizational performance and service quality. Continued

patient safety incidents related to communication, fragmented coordination among stakeholders, and inconsistent execution of organizational strategies across healthcare contexts demonstrate this problem. Without a comprehensive understanding of effective practices in strategic communication and stakeholder engagement, healthcare organizations may keep encountering preventable inefficiencies that limit their ability to provide high-quality, safe, and sustainable healthcare.

2.2. Purpose of the Article

This article aims to investigate how healthcare leaders use strategic communication and stakeholder engagement strategies to enhance organizational performance and improve service quality. Using a qualitative study design, the article examines leadership practices that enable interprofessional collaboration, strengthen stakeholder relationships, reinforce organizational alignment, and promote continuous quality improvement within healthcare systems. The study intends to produce empirically grounded insights into the mechanisms through which effective communication and engagement practices shape organizational outcomes in complex healthcare environments. By determining and analyzing these leadership strategies, the study expands the applied knowledge base of healthcare leadership and provides evidence to guide improved managerial practice. In addition, the study provides practical implications for healthcare organizations seeking to design leadership approaches that increase transparency, strengthen stakeholder involvement, and maintain long-term improvements in organizational performance and service delivery.

3. Literature Review

3.1. Strategic Communication Theory

Strategic communication theory provides a robust conceptual framework for understanding how organizations purposefully design, coordinate, and manage communication processes to achieve strategic objectives and sustain organizational effectiveness. Pfleger (2025) conceptualizes strategic communication as an integrated, goal-directed organizational function through which meaning is constructed, stakeholder relationships are managed, and alignment is achieved across multiple organizational levels. Extending this view, Van Ruler (2018) emphasizes that strategic communication is fundamentally grounded in broader communication theory, underscoring that its effectiveness depends on understanding relational dynamics, message interpretation, and the contextual production of meaning within complex organizational environments. Similarly, Hallahan et al. (2007) argue that strategic communication involves the intentional management of communication to support the attainment of the organizational mission by aligning internal and external stakeholders through coordinated messaging and engagement processes. Collectively, these perspectives position communication as a dynamic, recursive, and socially constructed process in which meaning-making, feedback, and context interact to shape organizational outcomes. In healthcare management, this theo-

retical foundation is particularly salient, as it explains how structured and intentional communication practices reduce uncertainty, enhance stakeholder alignment, and support coherent decision-making in complex, high-stakes environments. Accordingly, strategic communication theory provides a critical lens for examining how healthcare leaders can improve organizational performance and service quality through deliberate, theory-driven communication systems that foster transparency, trust, and strategic coherence.

3.2. Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory provides a foundational framework for understanding organizational performance through the systematic management of relationships among diverse stakeholder groups. Grounded in the seminal work of Freeman (1984), the theory posits that organizational success is not defined exclusively by shareholder value but is achieved through the balanced consideration of multiple stakeholder interests, including employees, patients, regulators, communities, and funding agencies. Contemporary scholarship extends this perspective by positioning stakeholder theory as a strategic management approach that emphasizes identifying, engaging, and integrating stakeholder needs to achieve sustainable organizational outcomes (Mahajan et al., 2023). Within healthcare settings, stakeholder theory is particularly salient due to the sector's inherent complexity, ethical imperatives, and reliance on coordinated interprofessional and interorganizational collaboration. Effective application of the theory requires healthcare leaders to engage stakeholders through structured and intentional communication processes that promote alignment, transparency, and shared value creation across organizational boundaries. In this regard, strategic communication and stakeholder engagement serve as operational mechanisms through which stakeholder theory is enacted in practice, enabling collaboration, mitigating conflict, and strengthening organizational coherence to improve service quality and performance outcomes.

3.3. Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership theory explains how leaders influence organizational performance by inspiring, motivating, and enabling followers to exceed expected levels of performance through vision-driven change and relational influence. Initially developed by Burns (1978) and later expanded by Bass (2006), the theory emphasizes core leadership dimensions such as inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and idealized influence. Within healthcare organizations, transformational leadership is particularly relevant due to the sector's high complexity, interdisciplinary nature, and continuous demand for quality improvement and patient-centered care. Recent empirical evidence reinforces the practical significance of this leadership approach in healthcare settings. Notarnicola et al. (2024) found that transformational leadership among nursing leaders is positively associated with improved job satisfaction and enhanced personal mastery, both of which are critical drivers of workforce stability

and clinical performance. These outcomes are especially important in healthcare settings where staff engagement and professional development directly influence the quality of care and patient safety. In this context, transformational leadership operates as a catalyst for fostering supportive work environments, strengthening team cohesion, and promoting shared accountability for organizational outcomes. Accordingly, transformational leadership theory provides a valuable explanatory lens for understanding how healthcare leaders can improve organizational performance and service quality by shaping organizational culture, enhancing employee motivation, and supporting continuous professional growth across clinical and administrative systems.

3.4. Integrated Theoretical Framework: Strategic Communication, Stakeholder Engagement, and Transformational Leadership in Healthcare Management

In healthcare management, strategic communication, stakeholder, and transformational leadership theories together offer a comprehensive explanatory and applied framework for understanding how leadership practices shape organizational performance and service quality. Strategic communication theory views communication as a coordinated, goal-oriented organizational function through which meaning is formed, stakeholder relationships are handled, and alignment is established across several organizational levels (Hallahan et al., 2007; Pfleger, 2025). From this standpoint, communication goes beyond exchanging information and serves as a governance tool that reduces uncertainty, builds trust, and supports coherent decision-making in complex healthcare settings. Van Ruler (2018) also highlights that this approach is rooted in more general communication theory and, therefore, calls for attention to relational dynamics, interpretation, and context-sensitive processes of meaning-making.

Alongside this, stakeholder theory offers the structural basis for inclusive and systematic engagement by arguing that organizational success depends on balancing the interests of multiple stakeholder groups rather than focusing on shareholders alone (Freeman, 1984); in healthcare, this includes patients, clinicians, regulators, communities, and funders, whose needs must be identified and incorporated into decision-making processes on an ongoing basis. Mahajan et al. (2023) extended this perspective by presenting stakeholder theory as a strategic management approach centered on engagement and integration to achieve sustainable outcomes. Within this integrated framework, strategic communication and stakeholder engagement serve as mechanisms that translate stakeholder expectations into coordinated organizational action, thereby improving alignment, reducing conflict, and enhancing service delivery outcomes.

Transformational leadership theory further synthesizes these views by explaining how leaders affect organizational performance through vision, motivation, and relational influence (Burns, 1978; Bass, 2006), and is especially relevant in healthcare because of its interdisciplinary character and high reliability demands. Empirical findings by Notarnicola et al. (2024) indicate that transformational lead-

ership in nursing is associated with greater job satisfaction and personal mastery, thereby strengthening workforce stability and clinical performance. Accordingly, transformational leadership supplies the behavioral mechanism through which strategic communication and stakeholder engagement are implemented, allowing leaders to cultivate trust, collaboration, and continuous improvement in organizational performance and service quality across healthcare systems.

4. Research Question

What leadership strategies do healthcare leaders use to enhance organizational performance?

5. Data Collection

Data for this study were collected using a qualitative design to examine healthcare leaders' experiences with strategic communication and stakeholder engagement aimed at improving organizational performance and service quality. A qualitative inquiry is particularly well suited to exploring complex social phenomena and uncovering the meanings individuals attribute to their experiences (Aspers & Corte, 2019). Considering the multifaceted nature of leadership behaviors, organizational culture, and employee experiences in healthcare environments, a qualitative methodological framework is deemed the most suitable strategy to address the research inquiry, offering the requisite depth to comprehend the real-world decision-making processes of leaders, their interpersonal dynamics, and the contextual factors that are not adequately captured through quantitative methodologies. Semi-structured interviews were used to support an in-depth examination of participants' viewpoints while ensuring comparability across interviews. Through this design, participants were able to describe their leadership practices, communication strategies, and stakeholder engagement processes in rich, detailed terms as they operated within their specific organizational settings. Eleven participants in Jamaica were included in the study. They were purposively recruited because they had more than 5 years of healthcare leadership experience, held leadership positions within healthcare organizations, and were directly involved in decision-making, communication, and performance management processes. This purposive sampling approach helped ensure that individuals with relevant experiential knowledge participated in the study.

Frequency counts and percentages presented in **Tables 1-3** were calculated based on the number of participants who referenced a specific code, category, or theme during the interviews. The denominator for all percentage calculations was the total number of participants included in the study (N = 11). Because participants could discuss multiple concepts during their interviews, frequency percentages represent the proportion of participants who mentioned a particular theme rather than the proportion of total coded statements. These values were used to provide descriptive context regarding the prevalence of themes within the dataset and were not interpreted as measures of statistical significance or generalizability.

Data saturation was assessed iteratively throughout the data collection and analysis process. Saturation was determined when additional interviews no longer generated new codes, categories, or conceptual insights relevant to the research question. The "Very High" saturation designation was applied when the final interviews yielded substantial repetition of previously identified concepts, with minimal emergence of new thematic information. Specifically, saturation assessment considered three criteria: 1) repetition of established codes across participant narratives, 2) stability of thematic categories after successive interviews, and (c) sufficient depth and richness of participant descriptions to explain the phenomenon under investigation.

Table 1. Interview participants demographics.

Participant ID	Job Title	Years in HL	Healthcare Organization Type
P1	Medical Doctor (Director)	9	Public Health Authority
P2	Medical Doctor (Lead Pediatrician)	7	Public Hospital
P3	Registered Nurse (Director of Patient care)	5	Assisted Care Living Facility
P4	HIV Care Grants Manager	5	Private Health Facility
P5	Medical Doctor (Program Director)	9	Public Health Authority
P6	Medical Doctor (Program Director)	10	Public Health Authority
P7	Medical Doctor (Program Director)	10	Public Health Authority
P8	Director for Customer Service in Health	6	Public Health Authority
P9	Medical Director	12	Public Hospital
P10	Medical Doctor (General Health Services)	10	Public Hospital
P11	Director for Social Services	6	Public Health Authority

Note. To protect confidentiality, all organizational identifiers have been omitted. HL = Healthcare Leadership.

To ensure the rigor and trustworthiness of the data collection process, this qualitative project employed a systematic and consistent methodology aligned with a pragmatic orientation to inquiry**.** Data saturation informed the determination of sample adequacy, with interviewing continuing until the data produced no new themes or insights. Interviews were conducted using an interview guide that corresponded with the study's research question and conceptual framework. The questions addressed how healthcare leaders apply communication strategies, engage stakeholders, maintain organizational alignment, and respond to challenges connected to service quality and performance improvement. Interviews were conducted in a structured yet adaptable manner to allow follow-up probing and clarification of key issues raised by participants. With participants' consent, all interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim to preserve the accuracy and

completeness of the data. The transcripts were read multiple times to confirm fidelity to participants' responses prior to thematic analysis. Field notes were also recorded during and immediately after each interview to document contextual information and nonverbal cues that aided data interpretation. Taken together, these procedures supported rigor, credibility, and depth throughout the data collection process.

Member checking was employed by disseminating interview summaries to participants to validate the accuracy of interpretations and to provide an opportunity for clarification or elaboration. Triangulation further enhances the credibility of the study by comparing and corroborating interview data, researcher field notes, and publicly available documents to discern consistent patterns and themes. This comprehensive methodology of systematic data collection, verification, member checking, and triangulation promotes dependability, confirmability, and credibility, in alignment with established qualitative rigor and a pragmatic grounded theory framework that underscores practical meaning-making and methodological adaptability (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Morgan, 2020).

Zoom was used to conduct and record interviews, while Microsoft Word was used to produce verbatim transcripts for subsequent analysis. Microsoft Excel was harnessed to catalog and label key excerpts, codes, and emerging themes. The application of color-coding within Microsoft Word and Excel facilitated the elucidation of thematic groupings, supported iterative engagement with the data, and enabled the systematic identification of patterns central to comprehending healthcare leadership strategies, organizational performance, and service quality. This visual methodology enhanced analytical clarity and fostered iterative engagement with the data, in accordance with established qualitative data management practices (Saldaña et al., 2021). In summary, the methodical application of visual organizational tools strengthened the analytical process by ensuring rigor, enhancing data engagement, and facilitating the reliable extraction of insights that align with the project's research objectives.

Furthermore, data analysis methodology integrated thematic and narrative analysis to examine qualitative data from healthcare executives on effective leadership approaches to enhance healthcare performance and service quality. Thematic analysis is a methodological and interpretive framework for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns of significance across qualitative data, making it particularly advantageous for research in healthcare leadership and health services (Saunders et al., 2023). This methodological approach was deemed suitable for the investigation due to its inherent flexibility and its capacity to encapsulate leaders' lived experiences, perceptions, and strategic decision-making processes within the context of healthcare organizational frameworks. The adoption of Braun and Clarke's revised six-phase thematic analysis framework to ensure a methodical, transparent, and rigorous analytical procedure (Braun & Clarke, 2013), alongside a reflexive thematic analysis framework. The incorporation of narrative analysis further bolstered the findings by maintaining the contextual richness of partici-

pants' leadership experiences, thereby facilitating a nuanced interpretation of how leadership strategies impact organizational performance and service quality within healthcare environments. The process employed thematic analysis to systematically examine qualitative data, identify recurring themes and patterns, and generate insights into effective leadership strategies through the lens of transformation leadership.

Dependability and confirmability were further strengthened through the maintenance of a comprehensive audit trail, consistent with the recommendations of Miles et al. (2018). The audit trail encompassed documentation of coding decisions, theme development and refinement, analytic memos, and reflective notes that elucidated the rationale behind methodological and interpretive selections. These procedures promoted transparency and enabled traceability from raw data to conclusions.

6. Findings

Within this qualitative study, organizational performance was conceptualized as healthcare leaders' perceived capacity of their organizations to achieve strategic objectives, optimize operational effectiveness, enhance responsiveness to stakeholder needs, and sustain improvements in healthcare delivery. The construct was examined through participants' accounts of leadership practices, strategic communication processes, stakeholder engagement approaches, and perceived organizational improvements resulting from leadership interventions. Thus, organizational performance reflected leaders' interpretations of organizational effectiveness rather than objectively measured outcomes.

Service quality was conceptualized as the degree to which healthcare organizations deliver accessible, responsive, coordinated, and patient-centered services that align with the expectations and needs of patients and other stakeholders. Participants' perspectives regarding service quality were explored through their descriptions of improvements in patient access, communication effectiveness, care coordination, responsiveness to patient needs, and the overall delivery of healthcare services (see **Table 2**).

Given the exploratory qualitative design of this study, organizational performance and service quality were assessed through the participants' lived experiences, professional insights, and perceptions of leadership-driven organizational changes. The study did not incorporate quantitative performance indicators or secondary organizational data, such as patient satisfaction scores, clinical outcomes, operational efficiency measures, financial performance metrics, or service utilization trends. Consequently, the findings reflect healthcare leaders' perceptions of how strategic communication, stakeholder engagement, and transformational leadership practices contribute to organizational effectiveness and service quality improvement.

Future research should extend these findings through mixed methods approaches that integrate qualitative perspectives with objective organizational performance

measures. Such approaches may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between leadership strategies and measurable improvements in healthcare quality, operational performance, and patient outcomes.

Table 2. Strategic communication and stakeholder engagement.

Theme Name	Participants referencing theme (n)	% of Sample	References	Sub-Themes	Saturation
Strategic Communication and Stakeholder Engagement	11	100%	52	4	Very High

Strategic Communication and Stakeholder Engagement emerged as the dominant and most consistent pattern across the dataset, with 100% of participants reporting it as relevant to leadership practice. The high rate of mentions (n = 52) and the existence of four clearly developed sub-themes point to a strong, conceptually rich category, further reinforced by a very high level of saturation. This result indicates that strategic communication and stakeholder engagement are not peripheral leadership activities, but core mechanisms through which healthcare leaders shape organizational performance and service quality. The prominence of this theme underscores how participants view communication as an intentional, structured leadership function rather than a routine operational responsibility. Participants consistently noted that effective communication enables alignment across clinical and administrative teams, minimizes ambiguity in decision-making, and strengthens organizational coherence. This finding is consistent with the perspective that communication in healthcare leadership functions as a governance mechanism, facilitating coordination across complex, interdependent systems. In addition, stakeholder engagement emerged as an essential aspect of leadership effectiveness, particularly in collaborating with multidisciplinary teams, patients, and external partners. Participants reported that meaningful engagement builds trust, increases responsiveness to service needs, and improves the implementation of organizational initiatives.

The identification of multiple sub-themes (see **Table 3**) suggests that stakeholder engagement is operationalized through varied but interconnected practices, including consultation, feedback mechanisms, collaborative decision-making, and continuous communication loops. The very high saturation level further strengthens the credibility and analytical weight of this theme, indicating that strategic communication and stakeholder engagement are deeply embedded in participants' leadership experiences rather than being isolated perceptions. This consistency suggests that healthcare leaders view these constructs as mutually reinforcing processes that collectively shape organizational alignment and performance outcomes. Overall, the theme underscores that strategic communication and stakeholder engagement function as foundational leadership strategies in healthcare organizations. Their combined influence extends beyond information exchange

to include relationship management, trust-building, and coordinated action, all of which are essential for improving organizational performance and service quality in complex healthcare environments.

Table 3. Sub-themes of strategic communication and stakeholder engagement in healthcare leadership.

ID	Sub-Theme	Participants Referencing Theme (n)	% of Sample (n/11)	References	Coverage (% of total references) (n/52)
ST.1	Multi-Channel and Persistent Communication	7	63.6%	18	34.6%
ST.2	Stakeholder Engagement and Collaborative Decision-Making	6	54.5%	14	26.9%
ST.3	Transparent Goal Communication and Role Clarity	6	54.5%	13	25.0%
ST.4	Feedback Mechanisms and Constructive Dialogue	5	45.5%	7	13.5%

Note. N = 11 participants. The percentage of sample represents the proportion of participants who discussed each sub-theme. Because participants could describe multiple communication practices, percentages are not expected to total 100%. Coverage represents the proportion of total coded references assigned to each sub-theme (total references = 52).

Sub-Themes Analysis: Strategic Communication as a Core Mechanism for Leadership Alignment, Engagement, and Organizational Performance

Leaders consistently conceptualized communication not as a unidirectional transfer of information, but as a deliberate, multidirectional process used to align teams, secure stakeholder buy-in, manage resistance to change, and facilitate organizational coordination. This framing reflects an understanding of communication as a strategic leadership function that directly shapes engagement, implementation effectiveness, and organizational responsiveness within complex healthcare environments. Within this overarching theme, four interrelated sub-themes were identified, each with varying prevalence and analytical depth. The most frequently cited sub-theme, Multi-Channel and Persistent Communication (ST.1), was referenced by 63.6% of participants and accounted for the highest thematic coverage (34.6%). Participants emphasized the importance of using multiple communication channels and repeated messaging to reinforce priorities, ensure message retention, and maintain alignment across diverse organizational units. Stakeholder Engagement and Collaborative Decision-Making (ST.2) and Transparent Goal Communication and Role Clarity (ST.3) were each reported by 54.5% of participants, reflecting their shared importance in leadership practice. Leaders described stakeholder engagement as a mechanism to foster shared ownership of decisions and improve implementation outcomes through inclusive participation. Similarly, transparent communication of goals and role expectations was highlighted as essential for reducing ambiguity, improving accountability, and strengthening coordination across clinical and administrative functions. The fourth sub-theme, Feedback Mechanisms and Constructive Dialogue (ST.4), while less frequently

referenced (45.5% of participants), remained a critical component of effective communication practice. Participants described structured feedback processes and ongoing dialogue as necessary to identify implementation challenges, address resistance, and refine organizational strategies in real time. Collectively, the distribution and consistency of these sub-themes demonstrate that strategic communication is a multifaceted leadership capability integrating message dissemination, stakeholder engagement, role clarity, and feedback loops. The convergence of these elements underscores communication as a core mechanism through which healthcare leaders drive alignment, manage complexity, and support sustained organizational performance and service quality improvement.

7. Discussion

Clear understanding and continuous improvement of communication flows across organizational hierarchies are essential for strengthening operational efficiency and enhancing the quality and timeliness of decision-making. Leaders demonstrated the use of diverse, intentional communication channels to ensure organizational messages were effectively conveyed to multiple stakeholder groups. These channels included formal meetings, virtual communication platforms, WhatsApp groups, face-to-face interactions, and written correspondence, reflecting a multi-modal communication strategy designed to enhance reach, accessibility, and responsiveness. This finding is supported by Wen et al. (2024), who emphasize that organizational communication networks are inherently structured through multiple interconnected channels that shape information flow, influence relational dynamics, and determine the efficiency of message dissemination within hierarchical systems. Accordingly, leaders' use of varied communication modalities reflects adaptive communication network behavior that strengthens coordination, improves information accessibility, and enhances overall organizational responsiveness in complex healthcare environments. Additionally, P9 emphasized that face-to-face communication was particularly effective within the Caribbean context for conveying urgency and ensuring message clarity. At the same time, P10 integrated WhatsApp groups with bi-monthly formal meetings to maintain continuous engagement. Similarly, P8 institutionalized structured "scrum" meetings twice weekly to support real-time coordination and sustained dialogue among teams, illustrating the use of agile communication practices within healthcare leadership.

In addition to using multiple communication channels, participants consistently underscored the importance of inclusive stakeholder engagement in decision-making processes. Leaders described deliberate efforts to incorporate diverse perspectives from field practitioners, regional teams, frontline staff, and external partners into organizational discussions and decisions, reflecting an intentional inclusive leadership approach. This emphasis is consistent with Atiku et al. (2024), who highlight that inclusive leadership fosters employee engagement by actively involving diverse members of the organization in decision-making, thereby strengthening organizational sustainability and performance. Similarly, Albright et al.

(2021) emphasize that effective communication strategies that engage multiple stakeholders are critical to implementing new practices, as they enhance understanding, buy-in, and coordinated action across professional groups. For example, P1 established a technical working group that integrated field-level practitioners to strengthen operational relevance. At the same time, P4 highlighted a leadership philosophy centered on valuing all contributions and assuming competence among staff within their designated roles. P5 further emphasized that inclusive decision-making fosters ownership and reduces resistance to organizational change, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of implementation. Collectively, these findings suggest that inclusive stakeholder engagement is not only a relational practice but also a strategic mechanism for improving implementation effectiveness and organizational alignment in healthcare settings.

A strong emphasis was also placed on clarifying organizational goals and role expectations to improve alignment and accountability for performance. Participants indicated that clearly defined institutional objectives and well-communicated role expectations are essential for enabling staff to understand responsibilities, perform effectively, and contribute to coordinated service delivery. This finding is consistent with Mutambik et al. (2024), who highlight that ambiguity in communication structures and unclear role definitions constitute significant barriers to effective healthcare system implementation, as they reduce user acceptance, limit engagement, and undermine coordinated action. In this context, clarity in organizational communication improves alignment, enhances accountability, and strengthens healthcare teams' ability to navigate complex operational environments. Conversely, unclear communication pathways and fragmented reporting structures, such as those identified by P9, can hinder information flow, weaken decision-making, and undermine overall organizational performance.

Finally, participants described structured and informal feedback mechanisms as essential for continuous performance improvement and organizational learning. P11 incorporated both peer and managerial review processes to strengthen accountability and reflective practice, while P4 conducted post-implementation debriefings following unsuccessful interventions to reassess goals and identify performance gaps. P3 also utilized weekly team meetings as a forum for transparency, shared reflection, and mutual recognition, reinforcing a culture of open dialogue and continuous improvement. Collectively, these findings illustrate that strategic communication in healthcare leadership is operationalized through integrated systems of multi-channel messaging, inclusive engagement, goal clarity, and iterative feedback processes that collectively support organizational effectiveness and service quality improvement.

As reflected in **Table 4**, the theme indicates that strategic communication functions as a central mechanism through which healthcare leaders manage change, enhance motivation, support professional development, and enable evidence-informed decision-making. Participants consistently described communication as an intentional, multi-channel process used to overcome resistance to change, with

leaders such as P1 using training and dialogue and P10 combining WhatsApp groups with formal meetings to build sustained buy-in. Communication also served a motivational function, with P4 emphasizing structured recognition and clear articulation of individual contributions to reinforce staff engagement. In addition, the communication infrastructure supported continuous learning, as illustrated by P11's use of peer review and feedback sessions to facilitate professional development. Finally, participants such as P9 highlighted that persistent, multi-channel communication ensured that data and frontline concerns reached senior decision-makers, reinforcing communication's role as a critical conduit for evidence uptake and organizational responsiveness.

Table 4. Strategic communication as an enabler of change management, motivation, learning, and evidence uptake.

Conceptual Bridge	Example from Data
Strategic communication is the primary vehicle through which leaders managed and overcame resistance to change	P1 used training and dialogue to gradually shift resistance; P10 used WhatsApp groups and meetings to build buy-in
Recognition and motivational messaging require structured communication channels to reach staff effectively	P4 used appreciation and clear communication of individual contributions to sustain motivation
Communication infrastructure supports professional development through feedback loops and collaborative learning	P11 used peer review and feedback sessions as communication-based development tools
Communication facilitates the uptake and application of data, ensuring evidence reaches decision-makers	P9 described persistent multi-channel communication as the mechanism through which data-driven concerns reached senior decision-makers

8. Implications for Healthcare Leadership Practice

The findings of this study have important implications for healthcare leadership practice when interpreted through the lenses of strategic communication, stakeholder, and transformational leadership theories. From a strategic communication perspective, the results reinforce the need for healthcare leaders to treat communication as a structured, intentional, and multi-channel organizational function that directly influences coordination, clarity, and service delivery outcomes. Evidence indicates that high-quality, patient-responsive communication enhances healthcare effectiveness and improves health outcomes by strengthening understanding and reducing information gaps across care processes (Sharkiya, 2023). Accordingly, healthcare leaders should implement integrated communication systems that ensure consistent messaging, timely information flow, and alignment across clinical and administrative structures.

From a stakeholder theory perspective, the findings highlight that effective leadership depends on the systematic engagement and integration of diverse stakeholder groups in decision-making processes. Stakeholder theory emphasizes that

organizational performance is optimized when the interests of multiple groups, including staff, patients, and external partners, are actively balanced and incorporated into organizational strategy. Empirical evidence supports that inclusive and participatory leadership practices strengthen engagement, improve collaboration, and enhance organizational sustainability by fostering shared ownership of outcomes (West et al., 2014). In healthcare settings, this requires leaders to institutionalize structured engagement mechanisms that enable meaningful participation, strengthen trust, and improve alignment between organizational goals and frontline realities.

From a transformational leadership perspective, the findings underscore the importance of leadership behaviors that inspire, motivate, and empower staff to achieve shared organizational objectives. Transformational leadership theory suggests that leaders who foster commitment, collaboration, and professional growth are more likely to achieve sustained improvements in performance and service quality. Evidence shows that leadership approaches that emphasize collective engagement and empowerment contribute to improved teamwork, higher job satisfaction, and enhanced quality-of-care outcomes (Sfantou et al., 2017). In this context, transformational leaders play a critical role in reinforcing strategic communication and stakeholder engagement by creating a shared vision, promoting accountability, and supporting continuous improvement across healthcare teams. Collectively, these theoretical perspectives demonstrate that effective healthcare leadership requires an integrated approach in which strategic communication enables clarity and coordination, stakeholder engagement ensures inclusivity and alignment, and transformational leadership drives motivation and sustained organizational change.

Leadership Strategies to Improve Healthcare Organizational Performance and Service Quality

Based on the findings of this study, and informed by strategic communication theory, stakeholder theory, and transformational leadership theory, several recommendations are proposed to strengthen healthcare leadership practice and improve organizational performance and service quality. First, healthcare leaders should institutionalize structured strategic communication systems that ensure consistent, timely, and accurate information flow across all organizational levels. This strategy includes integrating multiple communication channels, such as formal meetings, digital platforms, and interpersonal engagement, to enhance clarity, reduce information gaps, and improve coordination across clinical and administrative functions. Communication systems should be designed to support two-way interaction, enabling feedback, clarification, and real-time problem-solving to strengthen operational responsiveness.

Second, healthcare organizations should formalize stakeholder engagement frameworks that actively include frontline staff, clinical teams, patients, and external partners in decision-making processes. Leaders should establish structured mechanisms such as advisory committees, technical working groups, and participatory

forums to ensure that diverse perspectives are systematically incorporated into planning, implementation, and evaluation processes. This approach enhances trust, strengthens ownership, and improves alignment between organizational goals and service delivery realities. Third, healthcare leaders should adopt and reinforce transformational leadership behaviors that promote shared vision, empowerment, and professional development. Leaders must intentionally foster environments that support collaboration, motivation, and continuous learning, enabling staff to contribute meaningfully to organizational goals. Leadership development programs should therefore prioritize competencies in emotional intelligence, communication effectiveness, and change management to enhance leaders' ability to inspire and sustain high performance.

Finally, healthcare organizations should strengthen performance monitoring and feedback systems to support continuous quality improvement. Structured feedback loops, including routine performance reviews, debriefing sessions, and peer evaluation processes, should be embedded into organizational practice to facilitate learning, accountability, and adaptive improvement. Collectively, these recommendations emphasize the need for an integrated leadership approach in which communication, engagement, and transformational leadership practices are aligned to drive sustained improvements in healthcare performance and service quality.

Recommendations

This study highlights the central role of leadership communication and stakeholder engagement in shaping organizational performance and service quality within healthcare settings. Across the findings, strategic communication emerged as a critical mechanism for ensuring clarity, coordination, and alignment across diverse organizational actors. Stakeholder engagement further strengthened these processes by enabling inclusive participation, enhancing trust, and improving the relevance and effectiveness of decision-making. In parallel, transformational leadership provided the behavioral foundation through which leaders inspired commitment, supported staff development, and fostered a shared sense of purpose across teams. When viewed collectively through the integrated theoretical lenses of strategic communication, stakeholder, and transformational leadership theories, the findings demonstrate that effective healthcare leadership is inherently multidimensional. It requires not only the transmission of clear and consistent information but also the deliberate engagement of stakeholders and the demonstration of leadership behaviors that motivate and empower staff toward shared organizational goals. The convergence of these elements underscores that leadership effectiveness in healthcare is strongly dependent on integrating communication systems, participatory decision-making structures, and transformational leadership practices. Ultimately, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of how healthcare leaders can enhance organizational performance and service quality in complex and resource-constrained environments. By strengthening communication processes, institutionalizing stakeholder engagement, and adopting transformational leadership approaches, healthcare organizations can improve alignment, accountability, and continuous improvement, thereby support-

ing more effective and sustainable healthcare delivery outcomes.

Summary of Key Findings

This project identified strategic communication and stakeholder engagement as the most dominant and consistently reinforced leadership practices influencing organizational performance and service quality in healthcare settings. Leaders across all participants emphasized communication as a deliberate, multidirectional process for aligning teams, clarifying goals, managing resistance to change, and strengthening coordination across organizational levels. The findings further revealed that effective communication is operationalized through multiple channels, including formal meetings, digital platforms, interpersonal interactions, and structured reporting systems. In addition, stakeholder engagement emerged as a critical mechanism for enhancing decision-making and organizational alignment. Leaders consistently described the intentional inclusion of frontline staff, clinical teams, and external partners in planning and implementation processes as essential for fostering ownership, improving collaboration, and reducing resistance to organizational change. The study also highlighted the importance of clarity in organizational goals, role expectations, and reporting structures, as ambiguity in these areas was associated with reduced coordination and inefficiencies in information flow. Finally, structured feedback mechanisms were identified as essential for continuous improvement, enabling reflection, learning, and adaptive performance. Collectively, the findings demonstrate that effective healthcare leadership is grounded in integrated communication systems, inclusive engagement practices, and continuous feedback loops that support organizational coherence and performance improvement.

Contribution To Healthcare Management and Leadership Practice

This project could contribute to the improvement of healthcare management and leadership practice by providing empirically grounded insights into how strategic communication and stakeholder engagement serve as core leadership mechanisms to improve organizational performance and service quality. It reinforces the importance of treating communication as a structured, intentional, and multichannel process that enhances coordination, reduces fragmentation, and supports effective decision-making in complex healthcare environments. The study further demonstrated that inclusive stakeholder engagement is a critical driver of leadership effectiveness. Actively involving diverse stakeholders in decision-making strengthens trust, enhances collaboration, and improves the successful implementation of organizational strategies.

In addition, the findings highlight the importance of clearly defined goals, roles, and communication structures in strengthening accountability and improving operational efficiency. Importantly, this study can also contribute to positive social change by demonstrating how effective leadership communication and engagement practices can improve healthcare delivery systems in ways that directly benefit patients, healthcare workers, and communities. Strengthened communication systems enhance patient safety, improve access to coordinated care, and

support more responsive service delivery. Inclusive stakeholder engagement promotes equity by ensuring that diverse voices, particularly frontline workers and community stakeholders, are incorporated into decision-making processes that affect care outcomes. Ultimately, by improving leadership effectiveness, these practices contribute to healthier populations, more resilient healthcare systems, and more equitable and patient-centered care delivery.

Author Contributions

Dr. Rushell L. Bryce made substantial contributions to the study's conceptualization and development, including the formulation of the research objectives and the methodological approach. She was responsible for the collection and organization of the research data, conducted the formal analysis, and contributed substantially to the interpretation of the findings and their implications. Dr. Bryce also contributed to the preparation and development of the manuscript and ensured that the study's presentation accurately reflected the research process and findings. Dr. Caitlin Byrd provided scholarly supervision and methodological guidance throughout the research process. She contributed to the validation of the research approach, analytical processes, and interpretation of the findings. Dr. Byrd also provided critical intellectual input through the manuscript's review, revision, and editing, ensuring coherence, methodological rigor, and alignment with scholarly standards. Both authors contributed to the interpretation and contextualization of the findings and engaged in substantive critical review of the manuscript for intellectual and academic content. Both authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript and accepted responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the work presented.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

Albright, K., Navarro, E. I., Jarad, I., Boyd, M. R., Powell, B. J., & Lewis, C. C. (2021). Communication Strategies to Facilitate the Implementation of New Clinical Practices: A Qualitative Study of Community Mental Health Therapists. *Translational Behavioral Medicine, 12,* 324-334. https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibab139

Aspers, P., & Corte, U. (2019). What Is Qualitative in Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Sociology, 42,* 139-160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-019-9413-7

Atiku, S. O., Itembu-Naunyango, K. A., & Oladejo, O. M. (2024). Inclusive Leadership and Employee Engagement as Critical Drivers of Sustainability in Telecommunication Companies. *Administrative Sciences, 14,* Article 126. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14060126

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617095

Bennett-Weston, A., Keshtkar, L., Khan, A., Mohan, S., Jones, M., Nockels, K., Gunn, S., Armstrong, N., Bostock, J., & Howick, J. (2025). Impacts of Communication Type and Quality on Patient Safety Incidents: A Systematic Review. *SSRN Electronic Journal.*

https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5218033

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013). *Successful Qualitative Research: A Practical Guide for Beginners.* SAGE Publications.

Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership.* Harper & Row.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach.* Pitman.

Hallahan, K., Holtzhausen, D., van Ruler, B., Verčič, D., & Sriramesh, K. (2007). Defining Strategic Communication. *International Journal of Strategic Communication, 1,* 3-35. https://doi.org/10.1080/15531180701285244

Howick, J., Bennett-Weston, A., Solomon, J., Nockels, K., Bostock, J., & Keshtkar, L. (2024). How Does Communication Affect Patient Safety? Protocol for a Systematic Review and Logic Model. *BMJ Open, 14,* e085312. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2024-085312

Jones, C. H., & Dolsten, M. (2024). Healthcare on the Brink: Navigating the Challenges of an Aging Society in the United States. *npj Aging, 10,* Article No. 22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41514-024-00148-2

Keshtkar, L., Bennett-Weston, A., Khan, A. S., Mohan, S., Jones, M., Nockels, K. et al. (2025). Impacts of Communication Type and Quality on Patient Safety Incidents. *Annals of Internal Medicine, 178,* 687-700. https://doi.org/10.7326/annals-24-02904

Mahajan, R., Lim, W. M., Sareen, M., Kumar, S., & Panwar, R. (2023). Stakeholder Theory. *Journal of Business Research, 166,* Article ID: 114104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114104

Maurer, M., Mangrum, R., Hilliard-Boone, T., Amolegbe, A., Carman, K. L., Forsythe, L. et al. (2022). Understanding the Influence and Impact of Stakeholder Engagement in Patient-Centered Outcomes Research: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of General Internal Medicine, 37,* 6-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-021-07104-w

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldana, J. (2018). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook.* SAGE Publications.

Morgan, D. (2020). Pragmatism as a Basis for Grounded Theory. *The Qualitative Report, 25,* 64-73. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2020.3993

Mutambik, I., Lee, J., Almuqrin, A., & Alharbi, Z. H. (2024). Identifying the Barriers to Acceptance of Blockchain-Based Patient-Centric Data Management Systems in Healthcare. *Healthcare, 12,* Article 345. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12030345

Notarnicola, I., Duka, B., Lommi, M., Grosha, E., De Maria, M., Iacorossi, L. et al. (2024). Transformational Leadership and Its Impact on Job Satisfaction and Personal Mastery for Nursing Leaders in Healthcare Organizations. *Nursing Reports, 14,* 3561-3574. https://doi.org/10.3390/nursrep14040260

Pellegrini, G., & Lovati, C. (2025). Stakeholders' Engagement for Improved Health Outcomes: A Research Brief to Design a Tool for Better Communication and Participation. *Frontiers in Public Health, 13,* Article 1536753. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1536753

Pfleger, A. (2025). Strategic Communication Theory: A Systematic Overview. *Annals of the International Communication Association, 50,* 48-65. https://doi.org/10.1093/anncom/wlaf025

Saldaña, J., Omasta, M., & Inc, S. P. (2021). *Qualitative Research: Analyzing Life.* SAGE Publications.

Saunders, C. H., Sierpe, A., von Plessen, C., Kennedy, A. M., Leviton, L. C., Bernstein, S. L.

et al. (2023). Practical Thematic Analysis: A Guide for Multidisciplinary Health Services Research Teams Engaging in Qualitative Analysis. *BMJ, 381,* e074256. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2022-074256

Sfantou, D., Laliotis, A., Patelarou, A., Sifaki-Pistolla, D., Matalliotakis, M., & Patelarou, E. (2017). Importance of Leadership Style towards Quality of Care Measures in Healthcare Settings: A Systematic Review. *Healthcare, 5,* Article 73. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare5040073

Sharkiya, S. H. (2023). Quality Communication Can Improve Patient-Centred Health Outcomes among Older Patients: A Rapid Review. *BMC Health Services Research, 23,* Article No. 886. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09869-8

Subahe, A. H., Baker, P., & Polman, R. (2026). Facilitating Collaboration between Public Health Researchers and Policymakers: A Scoping Review of Global Practices, Barriers and Facilitators. *Health Research Policy and Systems, 24,* Article No. 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-026-01443-y

van Ruler, B. (2018). Communication Theory: An Underrated Pillar on Which Strategic Communication Rests. *International Journal of Strategic Communication, 12,* 367-381. https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118x.2018.1452240

Wen, T., Chen, Y., Syed, T. A., & Ghataoura, D. (2024). Examining Communication Network Behaviors, Structure and Dynamics in an Organizational Hierarchy: A Social Network Analysis Approach. *Information Processing & Management, 62,* Article ID: 103927. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2024.103927

West, M., Eckert, R., Steward, K., Pasmore, B., The King's Fund, & Center for Creative Leadership (2014). *Developing Collective Leadership for Health Care.* https://assets.kingsfund.org.uk/f/256914/x/9406fe95d0/developing_collective_leadership_2014.pdf

World Health Organization (WHO) (2023). *WHO Strategic Communications Framework for Effective Communications.* https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/documents/communication-framework.pdf?sfvrsn=93aa6138_0