**Open Access Library Journal**
2026, Volume 13, e15669
ISSN Online: 2333-9721
ISSN Print: 2333-9705

# Sous-Vide Technology in Muscle Foods: Balancing Food Safety and Textural Quality

**Paula Kralj-Vrsalović[1], Ema Milišić[2]**

[1]Department of Gastronomy, Aspira University of Applied Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia
[2]Department of Gastronomy, Aspira University of Applied Sciences, Split, Croatia
Email: paula.kralj.vrsalovic@aspira.hr, ema.milisic@aspira.hr

**How to cite this paper:** Kralj-Vrsalović, P. and Milišić, E. (2026) Sous-Vide Technology in Muscle Foods: Balancing Food Safety and Textural Quality. *Open Access Library Journal*, **13**: e15669.
https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1115669

**Received:** June 22, 2026
**Accepted:** July 24, 2026
**Published:** July 27, 2026



## Abstract

Sous-vide cooking, defined as thermal processing of vacuum-sealed ingredients at highly controlled temperatures has transitioned from industrial preservation to haute cuisine. This review examines the dual imperatives of the technique: maximizing sensory and textural quality while ensuring absolute microbiological safety under Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) systems. Physically, vacuum packaging minimizes mass loss, prevents the degradation of thermolabile nutrients and inhibits lipid oxidation. Biochemically, low-temperature long-time (LTLT) cooking enables selective muscle protein denaturation, utilizing endogenous lysosomal cathepsins B and L to solubilize tough collagen into gelatin without over-coagulating actin fibers. Simultaneously, microbiological safety is achieved by operating above the lower safety threshold of 54˚C to pasteurize vegetative pathogens like *Salmonella* spp. and Listeria monocytogenes, combined with strict rapid-chilling and refrigerated storage protocols below 3˚C to control spore-forming anaerobes. Closed vacuum environment and lower temperatures prevent the loss of aroma compounds, limit lipid oxidation, and preserve the water-holding capacity of the muscle. This results in a uniform distribution of color and moisture throughout the entire product.

## Subject Areas

Gastronomy, Food Science, Nutrition

## Keywords

Sous-Vide, Food Safety, Collagen Gelatinization, Sensory Evaluation

## 1. Introduction

The origins of cooking vacuum-packed foods under precise temperature conditions lie in mid-20th-century industrial food preservation. While early experiments in low-temperature cooking date back to Count Rumford's warm-air drying trials in 1799, the modern technical apparatus of sous-vide (French for "under vacuum") emerged in the late 1960s [1]. Food packaging engineers utilized thermoformable, oxygen-barrier plastic films to vacuum-pack ingredients to extend shelf life through a process known as "cook-chill" [2].

The transition to high-end culinary arts occurred in the mid-1970s through French pioneers Georges Pralus and Dr. Bruno Goussault. Pralus, working at the three-Michelin-starred restaurant *Troisgros*, discovered that vacuum-sealing *foie gras* before cooking reduced fat loss from 40% to under 5%, preserving its delicate, silky emulsion [3]. Concurrently, Goussault researched the biochemical parameters required to pasteurize and tenderize secondary beef cuts. Goussault demonstrated that low-temperature long-time (LTLT) thermal processing transformed fibrous, collagen-rich cuts into tender meat comparable to prime cuts [4]. By the 1990s, the technology was adopted by avant-garde chefs such as Heston Blumenthal and Thomas Keller, who utilized laboratory immersion circulators to achieve temperature control within 0.1˚C. Today, sous-vide is a cornerstone of professional and domestic modernist gastronomy [5] [6].

## 2. Methodology

The transition to high-end culinary to ensure transparency and reproducibility, the literature cited in this review was selected through systematic searches of electronic databases including PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. Keywords used in combinations included "sous-vide," "low-temperature long-time cooking," "food safety," "muscle proteins," and "HACCP." Guidance documents from authoritative bodies, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), were incorporated to establish regulatory baselines.

## 3. Technical and Nutritional Advantages of Sous-Vide Cooking

In conventional cooking, meat typically loses 20% to 40% of its weight due to the thermal contraction of the muscle fiber network, which expels water and lipids [7]. Muscle tissue is composed of approximately 75% water held within the myofibrillar lattice [8]. Heating above 50˚C causes myofibrillar proteins (primarily myosin) to denature and shrink longitudinally, squeezing water out of the cells. Above 60˚C, collagen in the connective tissue sheaths contracts transversely, exerting high hydrostatic pressure on the muscle bundles and forcing out sarcoplasmic juices [7]. Sous-vide mitigates this mass loss. By maintaining the cooking temperature below the threshold of severe myofibrillar and collagen contraction (typically 54˚C to 60˚C), the hydrostatic pressure on intracellular fluids is minimized [1]. Empirical studies show that sous-vide cooking at 55˚C to 60˚C limits cooking loss to under 10% to 15%, compared to losses exceeding 25% to 30% in conventional roasting to matching core temperatures [8]. Traditional boiling and steaming degrade food quality through leaching, oxidation, and thermal destruction. Water-soluble vitamins, such as the B-complex group and vitamin C, alongside essential minerals like potassium and magnesium, leach into cooking water [9]. Because sous-vide avoids direct water contact, leaching losses are entirely eliminated. The lower thermal regime of sous-vide also protects heat-sensitive (thermolabile) nutrients. For instance, thiamine (vitamin B1) degrades rapidly above 100˚C but is highly preserved during low-temperature sous-vide processing [10]. The oxygen-free environment within the vacuum pouch also prevents the oxidative degradation of antioxidants, such as ascorbic acid and carotenoids [11]. Conventional cooking transfers heat from a high-temperature medium to the food surface, creating a steep thermal gradient. To reach a safe core temperature of 55˚C, the outer layers must be exposed to temperatures exceeding 100˚C, yielding a dry, overcooked outer band [1]. Sous-vide uses an isothermal water bath set exactly to the target doneness. Heat transfer ceases once the core achieves thermodynamic equilibrium with the water bath. Consequently, the food is cooked to a highly uniform temperature from the outermost edge to the innermost core, optimizing textural consistency across various geometries [12].

Skeletal muscle consists of myofibrillar proteins (primarily myosin and actin, making up 55% to 65% of total protein), sarcoplasmic proteins (soluble enzymes and myoglobin, representing 30% to 35%), and connective tissue (primarily collagen, constituting 3% to 10%) [7]. Heating causes these proteins to unfold and aggregate at specific temperature thresholds, changing the meat's physical structure. Recent molecular dynamic simulations show that increased temperature drives the progressive unfolding of myosin, accelerating the conversion of $\alpha$-helix to $\beta$-sheet structures and the loss of stabilizing hydrogen bonds [13].

Between 40˚C and 50˚C, myosin denatures, initiating myofibrillar gelation and turning the raw, translucent muscle opaque while releasing weakly bound water. Between 50˚C and 55˚C, sarcoplasmic proteins denature and aggregate, precipitating onto the myofibrillar scaffold and increasing structural rigidity. Between 60˚C and 65˚C, cytoskeletal proteins denature, and collagen sheaths shrink, exerting a physical squeezing force that drives out meat juices. Between 66˚C and 73˚C, actin denatures, cross-linking the myofibrillar proteins into a rigid, inelastic protein matrix that produces a tough, dry texture [7]. Sous-vide cooking operates below the actin denaturation threshold (typically 54˚C to 59˚C), denaturing myosin while leaving actin native to preserve the muscle's water-holding capacity [1]. Sous-vide LTLT cooking leverages the activity of endogenous proteolytic enzymes to tenderize meat before they are thermally inactivated [14]. During post-mortem aging, muscle enzymes break down structural proteins, improving tenderness [15]. While calpains (active at pH 7.5 and highly heat-sensitive) are rapidly inactivated above 55˚C, the lysosomal catheptic system exhibits significant thermal stability [16]. For tough, connective-tissue-rich cuts of meat (such as beef brisket or short ribs), tenderness is determined by the state of collagen. Collagen is a rigid triple-helix held together by hydrogen bonds and covalent cross-links. When heated in a moist environment, collagen undergoes gelatinization. Thermal energy breaks the hydrogen bonds stabilizing the triple helix (beginning around 60˚C to 65˚C), causing the molecule to collapse and shrink. Continued heating slowly cleaves the covalent cross-links, dissolving the individual polypeptide chains into soluble gelatin [15]. In traditional cooking, converting tough collagen into gelatin requires boiling temperatures (100˚C), which denatures actin and dries out the muscle fibers [7]. The kinetics of collagen solubilization are time-temperature dependent; although the reaction is slower at lower temperatures, it still proceeds between 55˚C and 60˚C if given sufficient time. Cooking a tough cut at 56˚C for 24 to 48 hours slowly converts collagen into gelatin while keeping actin native, yielding a tender, juicy texture [1].

## 4. Microbiological Safety and HACCP Design in Sous-Vide Systems

Microbiological safety in sous-vide systems represents a critical control point due to the anaerobic environment within the vacuum-sealed pouches and the use of relatively low processing temperatures [17]. Because the vacuum prevents aerobic spoilage indicators, food safety depends entirely on maintaining strict thermal parameters to prevent the survival and growth of pathogenic microorganisms. The lower safety threshold for prolonged sous-vide holding is established at 54˚C, (specifically 54.4˚C or 130˚F in commercial codes), a parameters determined by several distinct biological criteria. At this threshold, pathogen growth is effectively inhibited, as common vegetative pathogens, including the highly heat-tolerant *Clostridium perfringens*, cease replication above 52.3˚ [18]. Furthermore, operating above 54˚C provides sufficient thermal energy to achieve active pasteurization, driving a multi-log reduction of key vegetative index organisms such as *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria monocytogenes* [17]. However, standard low-temperature pasteurization does not achieve complete spore control, meaning that highly heat-resistant endospores of anaerobic pathogens, such as proteolytic and non-proteolytic *Clostridium botulinum*, can survive the cooking process [17]. Because these surviving spores can germinate and produce lethal neurotoxins if held within the temperature Danger Zone of 5˚C to 60˚C, commercial food safety relies on an integrated Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) system. This system mandates rapid post-cooking chilling-cooling from 57˚C to 21˚C within 2 hours, and to below 4˚C within an additional 4 hours to keep spores dormant, coupled with strict cold-chain refrigeration maintained at or below 3.3˚C for extended storage to inhibit non-proteolytic *C. botulinum* growth [19].

## 5. Sensory Profiling and Organoleptic Attributes

The sensory uniqueness of sous-vide processed muscle foods is heavily driven by the retention of volatile flavor and aroma compounds within the sealed environment. In traditional high-temperature open cooking, volatile esters, aldehydes, ketones, and sulfur compounds are lost to evaporation with steam [12]. Under vacuum, these compounds are physically trapped within the pouch, causing them to re-penetrate and saturate the meat tissue [2].

Lipid oxidation, a major pathway for the development of unpleasant warmed-over flavor and rancidity during reheating, is significantly suppressed in sous-vide pouches due to the lack of oxygen [20]. Studies utilizing thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) as a marker have demonstrated that lipid stability is superior in vacuum-sealed samples compared to traditional methods [20]. However, during long thermal cycles at higher temperatures (above 65˚C), residual oxygen inside the tissue can trigger lipid oxidation, yielding volatile aldehydes like nonanal, octanal, and heptanal [12]. These compounds can participate in mild, low-temperature Maillard reactions and Strecker degradation with free amino acids, developing cooked aroma notes without the surface dehydration typical of oven-roasting [7].

Sous-vide minimizes water loss by keeping cooking temperatures below the contraction range of the structural actin filament network. This maintains the integrity of the muscle's water-holding capacity, allowing the sarcoplasm and extracellular moisture to remain trapped within the protein matrix [1]. Visual appearance is a primary sensory driver for consumers. In red meat, cooked color is dictated by the thermal denaturation kinetics of myoglobin, which begins to denature between 55˚C and 65˚C [21]. In traditional high-heat cooking, rapid denaturation throughout the outer layers turns the meat brown and grey [22]. Sous-vide cooking at highly controlled low temperatures (e.g., 55˚C to 58˚C) allows for partial, slow denaturation of myoglobin. Because the meat is shielded from atmospheric oxygen inside the vacuum bag, the formation of grayish-brown metmyoglobin is inhibited [22].

## 6. Limitations of Sous-Vide Processing

Despite its advantages, the safety and quality outcomes of sous-vide processing are highly conditional and depend on several critical variables. Because heat transfer relies on steady conduction through the food matrix, the time required to achieve a safe pasteurization core temperature increases exponentially with product thickness. Furthermore, the efficacy of pasteurization is strongly influenced by the initial microbial load; high initial contamination may require extended thermal dwell times to meet regulatory log-reduction standards. Vacuum pouch integrity is paramount, as micro-punctures or faulty seals destroy the anaerobic barrier, resulting in rapid aerobic spoilage or recontamination. Finally, because the process fails to eliminate bacterial endospores, any deviation from strict time-temperature controls during cooling or a failure in cold-chain maintenance above 3.3˚C introduces severe biological risks regarding the germination and toxin production of anaerobic spore-formers.

## 7. Conclusion

Sous-vide technology represents a significant advancement in contemporary gastronomy, illustrating how physical and biochemical principles can be harnessed to optimize food preparation. By replacing high-temperature dry-heat gradients with precise, low-temperature isothermal conduction, this method addresses a long-standing culinary challenge: achieving exceptional tenderness and juiciness without sacrificing microbiological safety. To maintain safety, however, professional kitchens must implement strict HACCP protocols. This includes pre-heating water baths to prevent bacterial heat-shock, monitoring conduction times based on food thickness rather than weight, and utilizing rapid-chilling and strict refrigeration limits to manage spore-forming anaerobes like Clostridium species. When managed with scientific rigor, sous-vide balances culinary quality with food safety, serving as a model for modern culinary practice.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Aspira University of Applied Science, Croatia for giving them funding for publication of paper.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

[1] Baldwin, D.E. (2013) Sous Vide Cooking and Chemistry. ACS Webinar.

[2] Church, I.J. and Parsons, A.L. (1993) Sous Vide Cook-Chill Technology. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, **28**, 563-574. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1993.tb01307.x

[3] Pralus, G. (1985) La Cuisine Sous Vide: Une histoire d'amour.Éditions G. Pralus.

[4] Goussault, B. and Goussault, F. (1974) Modern Methods for the Cooking and Preservation of Industrial Meat Cuts Using Vacuum Packaging. *Revue Générale du Froid*, **65**, 411-423.

[5] Keller, T. (2008) Under Pressure: Cooking Sous Vide. Artisan Books.

[6] Myhrvold, N., Young, C. and Bilet, M. (2011) Modernist Cuisine: The Art and Science of Cooking. The Cooking Lab.

[7] Tornberg, E. (2005) Effects of Heat on Meat Proteins—Implications on Structure and Quality of Meat Products. *Meat Science*, **70**, 493-508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2004.11.021

[8] Brugiapaglia, A. and Destefanis, G. (2012) Effect of Cooking Method on the Nutritional Value of Piedmontese Beef. 2012 *Proceedings of the* 58*th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology*, Montreal, 12-17 August 2012. https://iris.unito.it/handle/2318/120467

[9] Lassen, A., Kall, M., Hansen, K. and Ovesen, L. (2002) A Comparison of the Retention of Vitamins B1, B2 and B6, and Cooking Yield in Pork Loin with Conventional and Enhanced Meal-Service Systems. *European Food Research and Technology*, **215**, 194-199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-002-0554-6

[10] Creed, P.G. (1998) Sensory and Nutritional Aspects of Sous Vide Processed Foods. In: *Sous Vide and Cook-Chill Processing for the Food Industry*, Aspen Publishers, 57-88.

[11] Chiavaro, E., Mazzeo, T., Visconti, A., Manzi, C., Fogliano, V. and Pellegrini, N. (2012) Nutritional Quality of Sous Vide Cooked Carrots and Brussels Sprouts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **60**, 6019-6025. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf300692a

[12] Sánchez del Pulgar, J., Gázquez, A. and Ruiz-Carrascal, J. (2012) Physico-Chemical, Textural and Structural Characteristics of Sous-Vide Cooked Pork Cheeks as Affected by Vacuum, Cooking Temperature, and Cooking Time. *Meat Science*, **90**, 828-835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.11.024

[13] Wang, W., Zhang, Z., Wang, C., Huang, Q., Yan, L., Bai, T., *et al*. (2026) Molecular Insights into Heat-Induced Structural Modifications of Porcine Myofibrillar Proteins: Integrating Multimodal Thermal Processing and Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Food Quality and Safety*, **10**, fyag014. https://doi.org/10.1093/fqsafe/fyag014

[14] Kaur, L., Hui, S.X., Morton, J.D., Kaur, R., Chian, F.M. and Boland, M. (2021) Endogenous Proteolytic Systems and Meat Tenderness: Influence of Post-Mortem Storage and Processing. *Food Science of Animal Resources*, **41**, 589-607. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2021.e27

[15] Bhat, Z.F., Morton, J.D., Mason, S.L. and Bekhit, A.E.D. (2020) Applied Technologies for Enhancing Meat Tenderness—An Overview of Current Research. *Foods*, **9**, 1498.

[16] Koohmaraie, M. and Geesink, G.H. (2006) Contribution of Postmortem Muscle Proteolysis to the Tenderization of Meat. *Meat Science*, **71**, 193-219.

[17] U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2022) Food Code 2022: U.S. Public Health Service. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, D.C.

[18] García-Linares, M.C., Gonzalez-Fandos, E., García-Fernández, M.C. and García-Arias, M.T. (2004) Microbiological and Nutritional Quality of Sous Vide or Traditionally Processed Fish: Influence of Fat Content. *Journal of Food Quality*, **27**, 371-387. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4557.2004.00676.x

[19] Kenesei, G., Kiskó, G. and Dalmadi, I. (2024) Combined Sous-Vide and High Hydrostatic Pressure Treatment of Pork: Is the Order of Application Decisive When Using Minimal Processing Technologies? *Applied Sciences*, **14**, Article 3583. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14093583

[20] Cheng, Q. and Sun, D.W. (2008) Factors Affecting the Water Holding Capacity of Red Meat Products: A Review of Recent Research Advances. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, **47**, 697-710.

[21] Roldán, M., Antequera, T., Martín, A., Mayoral, A.I. and Ruiz, J. (2013) Effect of Different Temperature-Time Combinations on Physicochemical, Microbiological, Textural and Structural Features of Sous-Vide Cooked Lamb Loins. *Meat Science*, **93**, 572-578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.11.014

[22] Martens, H., Stabursvik, E. and Martens, M. (1982) Texture and Colour Changes in Meat during Cooking Related to Thermal Denaturation of Muscle Proteins. *Journal of Texture Studies*, **13**, 291-309. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.1982.tb00885.x