Scientific Research Publishing

Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2026, 14(6), 81-106
https://www.scirp.org/journal/jss
ISSN Online: 2327-5960
ISSN Print: 2327-5952

Wired for the City: Adolescent Emotional Regulation and Development in High-Density Urban Schools—Strengths, Challenges, and the Architecture of Adaptive Resilience

Gregory Henderson, Christan Horton, Quiteya D. Walker, Yolanda V. Edwards

Department of Rehabilitation Counseling, Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem, NC, USA
Email: hendersong@wssu.edu, hortonc@wssu.edu, walkerq@wssu.edu, edwardsyo@wssu.edu

How to cite this paper: Henderson, G., Horton, C., Walker, Q. D., & Edwards, Y. V. (2026). Wired for the City: Adolescent Emotional Regulation and Development in High-Density Urban Schools—Strengths, Challenges, and the Architecture of Adaptive Resilience. *Open Journal of Social Sciences, 14,* 81-106.
https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2026.146004

Received: April 26, 2026
Accepted: May 31, 2026
Published: June 3, 2026

Abstract

Despite rapid global urbanization and well-documented disparities in urban youth mental health outcomes, the developmental mechanisms by which high-density school environments influence emotional regulation remain undertheorized. This literature review introduces the Urban Emotional Ecology Model (UEEM) as an integrative theoretical framework. The UEEM posits that the sensory complexity, social heterogeneity, and spatial constraints characteristic of high-density urban schools foster a distinct emotional regulation profile in adolescents. Drawing on principles from developmental psychology, urban neuroscience, environmental psychology, school-based mental health research, and recent empirical studies, the model identifies five key regulatory dimensions influenced by urban density: 1) stimulus filtering capacity, 2) social code-switching fluency, 3) hypervigilance calibration, 4) collective emotional attunement, and 5) autonomy-constraint negotiation. Each dimension is conceptualized as representing both potential developmental strengths and vulnerabilities for adolescents. The model also addresses a long-standing paradox in urban youth research: adolescents with extensive exposure to high-density schooling often exhibit remarkable resilience in certain domains while simultaneously demonstrating heightened emotional difficulties in others—a pattern intensified by recent disruptions to urban school life, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic. Implications for educational policy, school-based mental health interventions, and future empirical research are discussed.

Keywords

Emotional Regulation, Urban Density, Adolescent Development, School Environments, Resilience, Ecological Buffers

1. Introduction

As children develop, global urbanization is reshaping the environments in which they develop. In this article, "high-density urban schools" refers to schools located in densely built metropolitan areas where student enrollment exceeds designed capacity, resulting in overcrowded classrooms, limited personal space, and high student-to-staff ratios. "Urban density" is used to capture both neighborhood form (population and building density, limited green space) and school-level crowding (classroom occupancy, corridor congestion). "Ecological buffers" are environmental features—such as supportive teacher-student relationships, access to restorative green spaces, and autonomy-supportive school policies—that moderate the impact of regulatory demands on developmental outcomes. According to United Nations projections, an estimated 68% of people will live in urban areas by 2050, with the greatest expansion concentrated in high-density metropolitan areas (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2025). These environments shape schools that children and adolescents attend—schools characterized by overcrowded classrooms, complex acoustic environments, diverse cultural heterogeneity, economic stratification, and persistent sensory stimulation (Evans, 2006; Weinstein et al., 2004). Despite its gravity, developmental science has been relatively slow to speculate on the ways that the peculiarities of high-density urban places structurally underpin the emotional regulation abilities that youth carry into adolescence and adulthood. In the wake of COVID-19, which has created a major disruption for urban schools and exacerbated pre-existing risks for mental health in urban youth, this theoretical void has gained momentum and relevance (Golberstein et al., 2020; Racine et al., 2021). From 2021 to 2023, the literature reported that anxiety, depression, and behavioral dysregulation increased following periods of remote or hybrid school experiences for young learners returning to high-density school settings (Panchal et al., 2021; Viner et al., 2022). A systematic review by Naff et al. (2022) synthesized 104 studies and found the pandemic was "widely disruptive" to PK-12 youth, increasing stress, anxiety, and depression, and demonstrated that students were particularly affected based on their age and socio-economic status. This suggests that the regulatory demands of high-density school settings can become uniquely disruptive during periods of environmental discontinuity—exactly the conditions of a recent post-pandemic era. Filling this gap is therefore both theoretically important and urgent for educational policy and clinical practice (Roffey, 2023).

Emotional regulation—the processes by which people affect which emotions they experience, when they experience them, and how they express them—is one

of the most important developmental skills acquired in childhood and adolescence (Gross, 1998, 2015). It predicts educational attainment, relationship quality, mental health status, and life satisfaction throughout the lifespan (Aldao et al., 2010; Compas et al., 2017; Gullone & Taffe, 2012). However, most emotional regulation studies have been conducted without careful consideration for environmental features within denser urban schooling contexts, where the setting becomes incidental rather than constitutive of regulatory change (Nolen-Hoeksema & Watkins, 2011; Skinner & Zimmer-Gembeck, 2016). This represents a substantial theoretical and empirical limitation.

The present literature review introduces the Urban Emotional Ecology Model (UEEM) in response to this gap. The UEEM argues that the emotional regulation development of urban adolescents not only depends on temperament and family socialization processes, but also on the particular ecological conditions of their school—density, complexity, and environmental dynamism. This approach is supported by Pykett et al.'s (2023) interpretive scoping review, which found that urban living is associated with increased risk for mental health problems in young people and emphasized the importance of understanding and modifying specific urban environmental features to promote youth mental health. It relies on empirical findings to support theoretical propositions, while also clearly delineating between what previous evidence suggests and the theory that the model puts forward in arenas that have yet to be deeply explored empirically.

The review is developed in five sections. First, it builds the UEEM using bioecological theory, process models of emotion regulation, and urban neuroscience. Second, it delineates the five central regulatory dimensions that the UEEM identifies as uniquely influenced by high-density urban schooling. Third, it examines the extent to which each dimension can serve as a strength or a vulnerability during adolescence. Fourth, it reflects on recommendations for schooling practice, school design, and mental-health policy. Fifth, it sets out priorities for future empirical investigation. It is important to distinguish urban density from factors that often co-occur with it: poverty, community violence, chronic noise, and cultural heterogeneity. The UEEM treats density (both school crowding and neighborhood form) as a core input that generates specific regulatory demands (e.g., stimulus overload, spatial constraint). Poverty and violence are treated as moderators that can amplify the impact of density on hypervigilance calibration. Noise and cultural heterogeneity are viewed as correlated conditions that shape the expression of the five dimensions but are not the model's primary causal focus. This distinction clarifies the model's scope and prevents overgeneralization.

2. Theoretical Basis for the Urban Emotional Ecology Model

The UEEM proposes a sequential pathway: 1) high-density urban school environments (characterized by sensory complexity, social heterogeneity, and spatial constraints) generate; 2) chronic regulatory demands for stimulus filtering, social code-switching, threat calibration, emotional attunement, and autonomy negoti-

ation; these demands shape; 3) five core regulatory dimensions; finally, 4) the presence or absence of ecological buffers determines whether each dimension develops into a developmental strength or a vulnerability. This pathway is elaborated in the following sections.

2.1. High-Density Schooling and Bioecological Theory

Bronfenbrenner and Morris's (2007) bioecological model in human development postulates that human development occurs through progressively more and increasingly complex interactions between an actively and dynamically emerging organism and the nested layers of microsystems, mesosystems, exosystems, and macrosystems in which development takes place. High-density urban schools constitute complex microsystems. By contrast to suburban or rural school contexts, where sensory inputs are relatively more restrained and social networks more stable, high-density urban schools expose students to a continuous range of variables in social interactions, acoustic milieu, spatial negotiation, and cultural structures (Evans, 2006; Milkie & Warner, 2011). The UEEM conceptualises such environmental features not as background noise but as constitutive developmental conditions. A recent systematic review of Bronfenbrenner's theory in educational research confirmed that the process-person-context-time model remains highly relevant for understanding how dynamic environments shape developmental outcomes, particularly when proximal processes are examined in depth (Tong & An, 2024).

The bioecological model's focus on chronosystem dynamics—historical timing and large-scale environmental change processes that influence development patterns—has direct applications in a modern urban school (Rosa & Tudge, 2013). In particular, this post-pandemic return to high-density school environments exemplifies exactly such a chronosystem disruption: students who endured prolonged environmental withdrawal faced high regulatory recalibration demands at their return (Viner et al., 2022). The UEEM explicitly incorporates this temporal dimension, recognising that the regulatory demands of high-density schooling are not static but are shaped by broader historical and environmental contexts, including the lasting effects of the COVID-19 pandemic (Racine et al., 2021).

2.2. A Process Model of Emotion Regulation: Extensions for Urban Contexts

Gross's (1998, 2015) conceptual process model identifies five families of emotion regulation strategies that are engaged sequentially during emotional regulation, including situation selection, situation modification, attentional deployment, cognitive reappraisal, and response modulation. Recent empirical work has extended this model to city environments of development. Sheppes et al. (2015) have shown that strategy selection is highly sensitive to the intensity of emotional triggers in a high-intensity emotional situation, with people continuously switching strategies systematically in the face of high-intensity emotional experiences. This observa-

tion is relevant for students managing the ongoing emotional demands of high-density schooling. Aldao et al. (2015) demonstrated that regulatory flexibility—the ability to switch between strategies as situational pressures shift—is more predictive of adaptive regulation than reliance on any single strategy, a finding that underpins the UEEM's emphasis on contextual adaptability. A 2023 commentary emphasised that flexibility involves three interrelated components: variability in strategy use across situations, the ability to match strategies to context, and the adaptiveness of such flexibility in achieving personal goals (Nardelli, 2023).

The UEEM elaborates upon this extended process model to propose that high-density environments in urban settings systematically limit certain regulatory strategies while fostering others. Situation selection—the selection of interventions for entering and/or avoiding emotionally charged (and potentially traumatic) contexts—is significantly limited in large urban school contexts where students have little personal space and where conflict, noise, and social friction are often presented to them unbidden (Evans, 2006; Evans & Stecker, 2004). In contrast, attentional deployment and cognitive reappraisal may develop into more sophisticated skills as students learn to manage unavoidable stimuli. A recent refinement of Gross's extended process model for clinical populations highlights the importance of considering strategy choice, flexibility, and repertoire in context-dependent emotion regulation (Agathos et al., 2025). This environmental construction of strategy availability is one of the core theoretical assumptions of the UEEM that remains open for empirical investigation—current evidence regarding strategy availability in urban settings is encouraging, but not conclusive.

2.3. Urban Neuroscience: Density and Neural Regulatory Systems

Urban neuroscience is an important empirical support for the UEEM, even though some causal pathways between urban density and neural regulatory development are yet to be confirmed. A recent systematic literature review by Ancora et al. (2022) synthesised 62 empirical studies and concluded that urban built environments, when compared to natural spaces, elicit activation in brain regions strongly related to perceptual, attentional, and (spatial) cognitive demands. The review also found that urban built settings trigger neural circuits linked to stress and negative affect, with convergence observed across neuroscience techniques and across both laboratory and real-life settings. Evidence also showed associations between neural social stress processing with urban upbringing or current city living, suggesting a mechanistic link to certain mood and anxiety disorders (Ancora et al., 2022). Crucially for the UEEM, the review highlighted that environmental diversity—particularly access to high-quality green or blue spaces—is critical for positive affect and individual well-being.

Lederbogen et al.'s (2011) paradigm study found from its influential investigation and also proved that an urban upbringing correlates with increased amygdala reactivity to social stress. Subsequent research has also expanded upon this perception a great deal. Münzel et al. (2017) found that chronic urban noise exposure

is associated with altered hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis functioning, specifically affecting cortisol response patterns. Kühn et al. (2017) expanded on this work to propose that density-sensitive changes in prefrontal-amygdala connectivity and salience network functioning indicate that urban environments impact acute stress response and broader neural systems that underlie regulatory control.

Importantly, Stevenson et al. (2018) showed that green space access in urban settings moderates the relationship between urban density and prefrontal regulatory capacity, offering neuroimaging support of the ecological buffer premise underlying the UEEM. A 2024 study on green space preferences for psychological benefits found that traffic noise was an important factor for attention restoration across all age groups, and that visitor numbers and noise levels strongly influenced preferences, but that adolescent pupils placed more importance on recreational infrastructure (and correspondingly less on biophysical characteristics) than adults (Arnberger et al., 2024). These converging results provide empirical support for the model's claims, but the UEEM acknowledges that longitudinal evidence concerning the causal relationship between specific density parameters and neural regulatory activity in school-aged populations remains limited and warrants further investigation.

2.4. Polyvagal Theory and Regulation in the Urban Area

Polyvagal theory (Porges, 2011), as applied within the educational and urban contexts, lends another theoretical dimension to the UEEM. Dana (2024) and Geller and Porges (2014) further illustrate how chronic environmental threat (typical of cities with high crime levels and economic precarity) can change students' habitual autonomic state from social involvement to sympathetic mobilization or dorsal vagal withdrawal. This autonomic adaptation, which most often occurs over and above conscious awareness, radically changes students' interaction with high-density school environments. In his book on applying polyvagal theory in classrooms, Wilson (2023) describes how understanding the role of the nervous system in learning can help educators support students in developing skills that lead to increased resilience, adaptability, and flexibility—essential qualities for social, emotional, and academic success. Building on that framework, the UEEM argues that some of the patterns described in the subsequent sections—such as hypervigilance (for example, hyperaware) and emotional withdrawal (for example, withdrawing from emotional cues)—may not be reflective of cognitive habits at all; rather, they may be autonomic adaptations that necessitate physiologically based intervention strategies. This theoretical fusion aligns with contemporary polyvagal applications in educational settings, although direct empirical investigation of polyvagal variables in high-density urban schools remains nascent.

3. Five Core Regulation Dimensions of the UEEM

The UEEM presents five regulatory dimensions that are particularly influenced by

high-density urban education. For each construct, it builds on empirical evidence, but in a manner that makes explicit both what evidence is available and what the model predicts.

3.1. Dimension 1: The Ability to Filter Stimuli

High-density urban schools provide contexts of substantial sensory complexity—cramped corridors, acoustically demanding classrooms, and neighborhoods with high levels of auditory and visual stimuli (Maxwell & Evans, 2000; Stansfeld et al., 2005). Navigating this environment exerts ongoing pressures on attentional control systems that are still under development during childhood and adolescence, resting, in part, upon executive function networks of the prefrontal region with lengthy development paths (Luna et al., 2015). The empirical findings regarding the impact of chronic urban noise exposure on regulatory development are, however, of a mixed nature, a complexity which the UEEM seeks to highlight. Dadvand et al. (2017) conducted a longitudinal cohort study and reported that chronic exposure to high levels of noise in urban schools was associated with lower working memory capacity and attentional control (after adjusting for socioeconomic status). Helps et al. (2014) found, in a laboratory study of school-aged children using white-noise conditions (not specifically urban schools), that some children showed improved selective attention under added noise. This finding offers conceptual support for the UEEM's claim that density might train attentional deployment, but it does not directly validate the model in real-world high-density schools. The cost-benefit pattern of chronic noise exposure that impairs some aspects of attention while promoting others is an empirically documented finding that the UEEM aims to theorize within a coherent framework. The UEEM suggests that prolonged experience in high-density urban schools facilitates the generation of selective filtering capacities under environmental pressure, leading to an accelerated development of strategies of attentional deployment. This perspective aligns with Helps et al. (2014), but long-term follow-up in larger populations and a deeper multi-method approach are needed for confirmation. Its unique mechanism is selective attention under sensory overload; it differs from hypervigilance (Dimension 3) because filtering operates on non-threatening background noise, whereas hypervigilance specifically targets potential threats.

3.2. Dimension 2: Social Code-Switching Fluency

High-density urban schools reflect a great deal of cultural, linguistic, ethnic, and socioeconomic diversity, and require learners to navigate a variety of social codes of conduct during one school day (Carter, 2005; Yip et al., 2019). A student might move between social groups of peers who operate within very different cultural contexts, interact with teachers from disparate cultures, and negotiate hierarchies that change depending on the context. The UEEM suggests that these demands facilitate what we call social code-switching fluency—the ability to read, interpret, and respond flexibly to changing social and emotional contexts. This dimension

is well established in empirical literature. McCluney et al. (2019) found that racial and cultural code-switching creates emotional labor costs that are especially significant for Black and Latinx youth attending institutionally white schools, a finding which is readily applicable to cities where demographic complexity and institutional and other logics interact with academic hierarchy. Yip et al. (2019) showed that bicultural identity integration—the integration of multiple cultural identities into a coherent psychological framework—moderates the emotional costs of code-switching, suggesting that the regulatory burden of this feature is systematically determined by characteristics of identity development. A 2025 study on emotional labour in teenage and adolescent care emphasises the pressing need for effective emotional regulation, self-care practices and open communication to mitigate emotional stress (Bowe, 2025), highlighting the importance of recognising hidden emotional work in care and educational settings. The UEEM utilizes these findings to suggest that social code-switching fluency is a heterogeneous competency, differentiated by race, ethnicity, language background, and institutional position. Whether code-switching promotes regulatory sophistication or loses its regulatory function depends largely on these contextual parameters—a premise grounded in theoretical evidence, but requiring direct empirical examination in school-oriented samples. The unique mechanism is rapid alternation between cultural-linguistic behavioral repertoires; this differs from collective emotional attunement (Dimension 4), which involves synchronizing with a group's shared affective state rather than switching one's own expressive style.

3.3. Dimension 3: Hypervigilance Calibration

Urban school students, particularly those living in areas of high-density life with high levels of poverty and community violence, may face actual environmental risk (Sharkey, 2010). However, the regulatory issue for such students is not just higher threat sensitivity—which can be considered an adaptive reaction to actual environmental threat—but rather how to appropriately calibrate this sensitivity across contexts that differ in real threat. Kliewer (2006) reported findings using ecological momentary assessment showing that high-violence urban youth experience markedly greater levels of cortisol reactivity, specifically during transitions from the home environment to transit and school settings. This environment-specific reactivity variation offers empirical evidence that hypervigilance among urban youth is not a fixed trait of dispositional disposition, but is contingent on environmental context—evidence which directly corroborates the UEEM perspective on hypervigilance calibration as an internal regulator that is adjusted to context rather than merely an innate trait. A recent study on the acute effects of community violence in a low-income urban sample in Brazil found that recent exposure to neighbourhood homicides was associated with lower effortful control, higher behaviour problems, and lower developmental scores in 3-year-olds, demonstrating that community violence has immediate, measurable con-

sequences for self-regulation (McCoy et al., 2024). Mendelson et al. (2010), in a randomized controlled pilot trial of a school-based mindfulness and yoga intervention for urban youth, found that the intervention caused significant reductions in involuntary stress responses (including rumination and intrusive thoughts) in urban adolescents, showing that this regulatory dimension is sensitive to focused intervention. The UEEM describes hypervigilance calibration as context-sensitive capacity to modulate threat-detection systems—a capacity which signals authentic adaptive sophistication when appropriately calibrated, yet incurs a high developmental cost when threat responses are chronically activated or fail to distinguish between life-threatening situations and merely novel social contexts. The unique mechanism is context-sensitive threat detection; it differs from stimulus filtering (Dimension 1) because it is motivated by perceived danger rather than by general sensory load, and it differs from autonomy-constraint negotiation (Dimension 5) because it operates automatically through the autonomic nervous system rather than through deliberate choice.

3.4. Dimension 4: Collective Emotional Attunement

High-density environments generate opportunities for emotional contagion—the spreading of emotional states across groups via social interaction—that are less pronounced in lower-density settings (Hatfield et al., 1993; Niven et al., 2009). In dense, crowded classrooms and school settings, the emotional states of others are visibly more immediate, physically closer, and much more difficult to ignore. The UEEM suggests that the emotional attunement students develop within these settings leads them to be more responsive to the emotional climate of groups within them, which this framework calls collective emotional attunement. This dimension is supported by converging lines of research. Nummenmaa et al. (2018) studied adult participants in controlled social situations (not urban schools) and found that emotional contagion increased with social proximity. This finding offers conceptual support for the UEEM's hypothesis that dense school environments may amplify collective emotional attunement, but direct evidence from adolescent school settings is not yet available. Crone and Dahl (2012) established neural systems supporting social perception were especially activated in adolescence due to elevated social brain sensitivity. The unique blend of developmental sensitivity in these areas, as well as heightened emotional salience of urban school contexts, can facilitate collective emotional attunement in a unique way—a theoretical hypothesis aligned with the available neuroscientific evidence but yet unvalidated in urban school contexts. This dimension of the UEEM recognises both interpersonal benefits and vulnerabilities, specifically in post-pandemic urban schools where communal grief and anxiety have widely been reported (Viner et al., 2022). The unique mechanism is emotional contagion and group affect matching; it differs from social code-switching (Dimension 2) because attunement involves absorbing others' emotions rather than strategically changing one's own expression, and it differs from hypervigilance (Dimension 3) because it is driven by affiliation, not

threat.

3.5. Dimension 5: Negotiating Autonomy and Constraint

On a personal scale, life in high-density urban schools is highly constraining. Space is limited, movement is regulated, scheduling is tightly controlled, and personal privacy is limited (Weinstein et al., 2004; Woolner et al., 2007). Developing students are working with the emotional conflict between their developmentally normative needs for autonomy and self-expression and the structural constraints of high-density environments. Self-determination theory research backs up this dimension directly with empirical evidence. According to Ryan and Deci (2020), the perceived constraint of basic psychological needs (autonomy, competence, and relatedness) is predictive of heightened emotional dysregulation and withdrawal from institutional involvement. Jang et al. (2012) showed in their large multi-school longitudinal study that structural autonomy support in the classroom—that is, teacher-facilitated behaviors that respect students' views and offer important choice—modifies the relationship between perceived environmental constraint and emotional regulation outcomes. This observation supports the UEEM's ecological buffer construct with direct empirical evidence and reveals concrete school structural characteristics that influence whether experiences of constraints result in adaptive developmental outcomes or maladaptive developmental consequences. More recently, a 2024 longitudinal study of 1453 Norwegian secondary school students found that perceived teacher autonomy support and mental well-being decreased during the three-year upper secondary period, while academic stress increased. The study also found that initial levels of teacher autonomy support were positively related to mental well-being and negatively related to academic stress (Kristensen & Jeno, 2024). The UEEM suggests that regulating frustration, demonstrating patience in times of conflict, and achieving positive self-expression in limited circumstances represent a discrete regulatory competency that is particularly salient and potentially vulnerable during adolescent transition, when autonomy requirements are developmentally increased (Crone & Dahl, 2012). The unique mechanism is the cognitive-affective resolution of conflict between personal agency and environmental limits; it differs from code-switching (Dimension 2) because it concerns power and choice rather than cultural identity performance, and it differs from hypervigilance (Dimension 3) because it involves deliberate reappraisal rather than automatic threat detection.

4. Regulation as a Factor in Adolescent Development: Strengths and Vulnerabilities

The regulatory dimensions that emerge in dense urban schooling environments might serve as developmental resources or vulnerabilities at times when adolescents are transitioning, depending on their environmental conditions. Both sides of this analysis have been grounded in recent empirical research involving post-pandemic data.

4.1. Documented Strengths

4.1.1. Flexibility and Cognition

The attentional and social regulatory resources emerging from high-density urban school environments can foster adaptive flexibility that matters. Barac et al. (2016) described that bilingual urban youth—a common profile in high-density urban schools—display superior prefrontal regulatory control compared with monolingual peers, potentially due to an ongoing executive demand which requires processing multiple linguistic and social systems. A cross-cultural study of 368,045 adolescents across 60 societies confirmed that cognitive flexibility is a significant positive predictor of adaptive, goal-directed behavior, with effects stable across diverse cultural and educational contexts (Zheng, 2024). Complementing this, a review of the ABCD study highlighted that multi-system environmental factors (including urban density indicators) are uniquely associated with adolescent brain structure and behavior, reinforcing the premise that the environment actively shapes the neural architecture of flexibility and control (Cameranesi et al., 2022). Morris et al. (2018) further established a more subtle relationship that applies to the UEEM: certain executive functions—particularly social cognition and situational appraisal—develop faster in high-density environments, while other executive functions show both normative and below-normative development in urban youth. This domain-specific, instead of global pattern of cognitive advantage is integrated into the conceptual framework of the UEEM.

4.1.2. Emotional Intelligence and Interpersonal Competence

Years of navigating emotionally complex social environments may promote early development of interpersonal perceptiveness in urban adolescents. MacCann et al. (2020), in a large cross-national meta-analysis, found that emotional intelligence—particularly the capacity to perceive and manage emotions in social contexts—is significantly associated with academic achievement, social functioning, and well-being. Critically, MacCann et al. (2020) found that youth from more socially complex environments scored significantly higher on emotion perception, the specific emotional intelligence component most directly linked to the collective emotional attunement the UEEM describes, providing empirical support for an environmental contribution to this capacity.

Giraldo-García et al.'s (2023) qualitative research with urban adolescents in a large Midwest school district documented sophisticated emotional knowledge and interpersonal perceptiveness among participants—capacities that participants themselves rarely identified as strengths, highlighting the importance of strength-affirming frameworks for educational practice. This finding underscores the UEEM's argument that theoretical frameworks recognizing urban regulatory competencies as genuine strengths are necessary for effective educational and clinical intervention.

4.1.3. Resilience Resources

Contemporary resilience science offers important grounding for the UEEM's treat-

ment of regulatory dimensions as potential resilience resources. Masten et al. (2021) proposed a systems model of resilience in which adaptive capacity emerges from multiple interacting systems—neurobiological, psychological, social, and cultural—rather than from any single trait or competency. This multi-system model aligns closely with the UEEM's conception of resilience as distributed across regulatory dimensions.

Ungar (2018), drawing on international qualitative data from the International Resilience Research Project, found that resilience in high-stress youth populations is contextually and culturally embedded rather than representing a decontextualized psychological trait—an emergent property of the interaction between individual resources and environmental supports. This finding directly supports the UEEM's ecological orientation and its emphasis on ecological buffers as determinants of whether regulatory dimensions function as resilience resources or as risk pathways.

4.1.4. Identity Development

Urban adolescents who navigate multiple cultural frameworks and institutional constraints may develop advanced identity exploration capacities. A longitudinal study of 1396 adolescents found reciprocal positive associations between identity commitment and multiple dimensions of well-being (physical, subjective, psychological, and social), with these links particularly strong for adolescents from migrant backgrounds (De Lise et al., 2024). The authors concluded that identity processes are central to adaptation and that a "dynamic loop of reciprocal influences" lies at the core of positive youth development. Complementing this, Serrano-Villar et al. (2017) studied young Mexican and Dominican American children (mean age 4.5 years) in a low-income urban sample, finding that parenting and social support predicted social-emotional development rather than identity negotiation per se. Although the original study did not directly test the claimed link between identity negotiation and emotion regulation in adolescents, it provides conceptual support for the importance of cultural context in regulatory development.

4.2. Documented Vulnerabilities

4.2.1. Regulatory Depletion and Emotional Exhaustion

The sustained demands of stimulus filtering and social code-switching in high-density environments impose regulatory costs that empirical research has increasingly documented. Inzlicht et al. (2021), in a comprehensive reconceptualization of regulatory resource models, proposed that sustained regulatory effort operates through motivational mechanisms, progressively reducing motivation for effortful cognitive and emotional engagement. For urban adolescents whose regulatory demands are chronic rather than episodic, this motivational erosion may represent a significant barrier to academic engagement. Urban precarity—defined as the exposure to interrelated socio-economic, physical, and psychological stressors—predicts higher rates of psychological distress and emotional exhaustion in

adolescents (Bowe, 2025; Pykett et al., 2023). McCluney et al. (2019) specifically demonstrated that cultural code-switching is associated with elevated psychological fatigue, reduced sense of authenticity, and burnout symptoms—particularly for youth from marginalized groups who face heightened adaptation demands. Post-pandemic data from Panchal et al. (2021) documented that emotional exhaustion rates among urban youth reached historically elevated levels during 2021-2022, as students returned to high-density environments following pandemic disruption—indicating that this vulnerability was acutely intensified by the chronosystem disruption the UEEM's theoretical structure anticipates.

4.2.2. Hypervigilance Generalization, and Psychological Distress

Adolescence introduces neurobiological changes that may destabilize previously established hypervigilance calibration patterns. Tottenham's (2020) developmental neuroscience review found that early stress exposure—including the chronic environmental threat associated with high-density urban neighborhoods with elevated violence—is associated with accelerated amygdala development alongside reduced prefrontal regulatory control over amygdala reactivity. This developmental mismatch creates a neurobiological predisposition toward threat generalization during adolescence: extending threat-detection responses from genuinely dangerous contexts to social evaluation and academic performance situations that share surface features with threatening environments but do not present real danger.

Gee et al. (2013) studied previously institutionalized youth (not specifically urban school populations) and found that early caregiving adversity predicted altered amygdala-prefrontal connectivity. Although their sample was not drawn from high-density urban schools, their findings provide conceptual support for the idea that early threat exposure can generalize to social anxiety—a mechanism the UEEM adopts provisionally. Critically, this vulnerability was moderated by the quality of teacher-student relationships in middle school, providing direct empirical support for the UEEM's ecological buffer construct and identifying a specific, actionable buffer mechanism.

4.2.3. Emotional Suppression and Internalization

The code-switching demands of urban schooling may inadvertently promote emotional suppression—inhibiting the expression of felt emotions—as an adaptive strategy across multiple social contexts. A study of 526 adolescents (aged 14 - 17) found that suppressors with lower positive emotion self-efficacy were more likely to engage in internalizing behaviors, establishing a modifiable pathway from environmental demand to internalizing outcomes (Lonigro et al., 2023). Emotional suppression is associated with adverse long-term outcomes, including reduced psychological well-being, impaired social functioning, and more severe depressive symptomatology (Gross, 2015; Riediger & Klipker, 2014). Riediger and Klipker (2014) found that suppression use increases during adolescence, with this developmental trajectory more pronounced for youth inhabiting highly con-

strained social environments—providing empirical evidence for an environmental influence on maladaptive regulatory strategy development.

Giraldo-García et al. (2023) found that urban adolescents demonstrate sophisticated emotional awareness and interpersonal perceptiveness when navigating diverse social contexts—a pattern that aligns directly with the UEEM's conceptualization of context-dependent emotional regulation as an outgrowth of code-switching demands. Participants described emotional masking as simultaneously protective and isolating, capturing the paradoxical dual character that the UEEM attributes to each of its five regulatory dimensions.

4.2.4. Collective Emotional Overwhelm

The collective emotional attunement that represents an interpersonal competence in many contexts becomes a vulnerability when the dominant emotional climate of the school or community is characterized by distress. The post-pandemic urban school context provides a particularly acute test case for this dynamic. Viner et al. (2022) documented elevated levels of collective grief, anxiety, and trauma among urban youth who experienced disproportionate COVID-19 losses, and who returned to school environments saturated with these collective emotional states.

Niven et al. (2009) found empirically that high sensitivity to emotional contagion is associated with elevated emotional exhaustion and secondary traumatic stress in social settings where distress is prevalent. Figley's (2002) compassion fatigue framework provides conceptual grounding for understanding why urban adolescents with the highest levels of emotional attunement may be most vulnerable to collective trauma effects—a developmental irony with direct implications for post-pandemic urban school mental health support.

4.2.5. Constraint Saturation and Disengagement

The autonomy-constraint negotiation dimension may reach a critical developmental juncture during adolescence, when self-determination needs intensify, and institutional constraints may feel increasingly intolerable. A meta-analysis of 388,912 students across 637 samples (Howard et al., 2025) confirmed that need-supportive teacher behaviors (including autonomy support) correlate positively with student engagement, well-being, and performance, while need-thwarting behaviors show the opposite pattern. Christenson et al. (2012) similarly demonstrated that structural autonomy-thwarting in middle and high school environments is among the strongest predictors of disengagement trajectories, with effect sizes larger for urban youth already experiencing high levels of environmental constraint. Lane et al. (2021) found that post-pandemic schools, facing escalated rates of externalizing and internalizing behaviors among students, operate with support resources that have not kept pace with rising needs—creating structural conditions that research identifies as predictive of constraint-driven disengagement in already-taxed urban youth populations, a convergence of theoretical prediction and empirical evidence with direct policy implications.

5. Integrative Analysis: The Regulatory Paradox of High-Density Urban Schooling

The UEEM reveals a fundamental paradox in emotional regulation development within high-density urban schools: the same processes that build adaptive capacity simultaneously create conditions for vulnerability. This paradox is not a limitation of the model but reflects genuine complexity in urban developmental processes that is increasingly supported by empirical research.

Applications of complexity and developmental systems perspectives—including those of Witherington and Lickliter (2017) and Galarneau et al. (2026) propose that developmental systems are characterized by multifinality: identical antecedent conditions can produce meaningfully different developmental outcomes depending on the broader ecological context in which development occurs. The UEEM's central paradox reflects this principle directly—the same regulatory dimension may develop into adaptive sophistication or maladaptive rigidity depending on the ecological conditions that surround its development.

This paradox intensifies during adolescence because the neurobiological, social, and identity changes of this developmental period simultaneously amplify and destabilize existing regulatory patterns. Luna et al. (2015) documented in a comprehensive developmental neuroscience review that prefrontal-limbic connectivity undergoes substantial recalibration during early-to-middle adolescence, producing a period of heightened regulatory vulnerability. For urban youth who have established regulatory patterns under chronic environmental demand, this neurobiological recalibration may require substantial renegotiation of previously functional regulatory approaches.

The UEEM proposes that whether a given regulatory dimension is expressed predominantly as a strength or vulnerability during adolescence depends substantially on the presence of ecological buffers—environmental features that moderate the relationship between regulatory demand and developmental outcome. The empirical evidence for specific buffer mechanisms has grown considerably in recent years. Gee et al. (2013) and Pianta et al. (2012) both identify teacher-student relationship quality as a particularly potent buffer, with Pianta et al.'s longitudinal investigation demonstrating that relational support quality in middle school predicts regulatory outcome trajectories above and beyond individual, family, and neighborhood-level variables. A study by Wright and Wachs found that greater perceived teacher support buffered against negative health outcomes (suicidal ideation, depression, subjective health complaints) associated with self-isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic, suggesting that teacher-student relationship quality functions as a protective factor even under conditions of acute environmental discontinuity (Wright & Wachs, 2022). Compas et al. (2017) documented the protective significance of family-based regulatory support, while Dadvand et al. (2017) provided evidence for the moderating role of green space access on the neural and psychological effects of urban density. A study investigating 970 Spanish adolescents (aged 9 - 17) found that higher residential greenness was associ-

ated with better psychological wellbeing in older adolescents (15 - 17 years), an association partially mediated by greater family support and feelings of autonomy; crucially, higher population density was associated with worse psychological wellbeing in this older adolescent group (Piera Pi-Sunyer et al., 2025). This finding provides longitudinal evidence that neighbourhood characteristics function as ecological buffers—both protective (greenness) and risk-enhancing (density)—and that their effects operate in part through psychosocial mechanisms (family support and autonomy).

These converging findings support the UEEM's ecological orientation and provide a specific, empirically grounded mechanism by which intervention can shift regulatory dimensions from vulnerability toward strength. The theoretical proposition that ecological buffers are the primary determinants of developmental outcome within the UEEM requires direct empirical testing through longitudinal research designs capable of isolating buffer effects from individual-level regulatory characteristics.

6. Implications for Educational Practice and Policy

The UEEM carries implications for how schools in high-density urban environments are designed, staffed, and supported. If emotional regulation is understood as shaped by environmental conditions, then schools have both the opportunity and the responsibility to function as intentional regulatory environments—spaces that actively support adaptive regulation rather than merely demanding it.

6.1. Environmental Design

School design should prioritize restorative spaces: quiet, low-stimulation areas where students can temporarily disengage from sensory demands and recover regulatory resources (Kaplan, 1995; Stevenson et al., 2018). Dadvand et al. (2017) found that green space access within school environments—including modest urban gardens and green corridors—produces measurable regulatory benefits for urban students. The absence of such spaces in many overcrowded urban schools constitutes a structural deficit with developmental consequences that school planning processes should explicitly address. This recommendation is grounded in existing evidence but would benefit from implementation research specifically examining green space interventions in high-density urban school contexts.

6.2. Strength-Affirming Social-Emotional Learning

Social-emotional learning curricula should explicitly recognize and build upon the regulatory competencies urban students already possess. Jones et al. (2021) found that SEL programs designed to scaffold existing urban youth competencies—rather than adopting deficit-corrective approaches—produced significantly better engagement and outcome data in urban school populations. A meta-analysis of 53 universal school-based SEL interventions confirmed that SEL programmes consistently improve social-emotional skills, attitudes, and academic achieve-

ment, with the largest effects observed in programmes that are *culturally responsive and contextually adapted*—aligning directly with the UEEM's emphasis on affirming, not remediating, urban regulatory strengths (Cipriano et al., 2023). Taylor et al. (2017) demonstrated that SEL programs with the greatest efficacy in high-density urban schools shared three features: they were relationally embedded (delivered within stable teacher-student relationships), culturally sustaining (affirming of students' existing cultural and regulatory knowledge), and physiologically informed (incorporating attention to autonomic regulatory states, drawing on mindfulness and body-awareness frameworks). These empirically identified characteristics map directly onto the UEEM's theoretical structure and provide specific design principles for urban SEL programming.

6.3. Educator Preparation and Co-Regulation

Teacher preparation programs should equip educators with an understanding of the regulatory demands placed on students in dense urban environments and with the skills to provide regulatory co-regulation—using one's own calm, present engagement to scaffold student regulation. Jennings et al. (2017) demonstrated that teacher social-emotional competence is among the most impactful school-based influences on student emotional regulation outcomes in an experimental evaluation of the CARE for Teachers program. Herman et al. (2018) extended this work by demonstrating that teachers in high-density urban schools experience significantly elevated compassion fatigue and burnout, creating what they characterized as a regulatory contagion problem: teachers whose own regulatory resources are depleted are less able to provide the co-regulatory support that students need, and dysregulated classroom climates further tax student regulatory capacity. Addressing teacher regulatory wellbeing is therefore a core student outcome issue rather than merely a human resources concern.

6.4. Systemic Mental Health Infrastructure

School-based mental health services should be reconceptualized as systemic ecological supports rather than crisis interventions for individual students (Hoover & Bostic, 2021). Walter et al. (2019) demonstrated particular efficacy for tiered mental health support models in urban school contexts—combining universal prevention programs, targeted small-group early intervention services, and intensive individualized clinical treatment. Golberstein et al. (2020) and subsequent pandemic-era mental health data document severe shortages of mental health professionals in urban schools, with counselor-to-student ratios in many urban districts exceeding recommended levels by a factor of two or more. The UEEM provides theoretical grounding for advocating expanded mental health staffing in high-density urban schools as an ecological investment in regulatory development.

6.5. Policy Directions

At the policy level, the UEEM suggests that funding formulas for urban schools

should explicitly account for the regulatory demands of density. Jang et al. (2012) and Conner et al. (2022) provide evidence that policies increasing student voice and meaningful choice within school governance function as ecological buffers against constraint-driven disengagement—an empirically grounded argument for structural participatory practices in urban school governance. Urban planning and land-use policies that create or preserve green spaces proximate to high-density schools represent a cross-sectoral investment in youth regulatory development that the UEEM brings into theoretical and policy relief.

7. Directions for Future Empirical Research

The UEEM, as a conceptual framework, requires empirical validation and refinement. The following research priorities are directly derived from the theoretical propositions advanced above.

7.1. Longitudinal Measurement Studies

Longitudinal research should track emotional regulation development across childhood and adolescence in students attending schools varying systematically in urban density, using multi-method assessment batteries combining self-report, behavioral observation, physiological indices (cortisol reactivity, heart rate variability), and neuroimaging. The UEEM's five dimensions should be operationalized into validated measurement instruments, a process requiring substantial instrument development work, as current tools were not designed with these specific dimensions in mind.

7.2. Ecological Buffer Identification

Research should systematically examine which ecological buffers—teacher relationship quality, green space access, family regulatory support, autonomy-supportive school structures, community resources—most strongly moderate the relationship between urban density and specific regulatory outcomes, and for which students these buffers are most and least effective. The existing evidence reviewed above suggests multiple candidate buffers, but comparative and interaction studies are needed.

7.3. Post-Pandemic Trajectory Studies

Given the acute chronosystem disruption created by the COVID-19 pandemic, longitudinal studies tracking regulatory trajectories in urban youth from pre-pandemic through post-pandemic periods are needed to examine whether and how pandemic-related disruption has altered the developmental landscape the UEEM describes.

7.4. Intersectional and Moderator Research

Research should examine how race, ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, immigration status, and linguistic background interact with urban density to shape

regulatory development. The UEEM's theoretical propositions apply differentially across these intersecting dimensions, and empirical research must capture this complexity rather than treating urban youth as a homogeneous population.

7.5. Intervention Research

Experimental and quasi-experimental studies should test interventions derived from the UEEM's theoretical structure—including strength-affirming SEL programming, green space integration, teacher co-regulation training, and autonomy-supportive school governance—to determine which components produce the strongest regulatory outcomes for which student populations under which conditions.

7.6. Cross-Cultural and Cross-National Comparisons

The UEEM's theoretical propositions should be tested across diverse urban contexts internationally, as the relationship between urban density and regulatory development may vary with national educational systems, cultural norms around emotional expression, urban planning traditions, and social welfare infrastructure. Evidence from non-Western urban contexts is particularly needed.

8. Conclusion

The Urban Emotional Ecology Model offers a theoretically grounded framework for understanding how high-density urban school environments shape emotional regulation development in adolescents. By identifying five specific regulatory dimensions—stimulus filtering capacity, social code-switching fluency, hypervigilance calibration, collective emotional attunement, and autonomy-constraint negotiation—the UEEM moves beyond general observations about urban stress to specify the developmental processes through which environmental conditions produce regulatory strengths and vulnerabilities.

This review's central contribution is its dual account of urban regulatory development: the same environmental conditions that produce genuine adaptive competencies simultaneously generate conditions for regulatory depletion, threat generalization, emotional suppression, collective overwhelm, and institutional disengagement. This paradox is not incidental but structural, rooted in the complexity of development within high-demand ecological conditions. Whether a given regulatory dimension develops toward strength or vulnerability depends substantially on the presence of ecological buffers—teacher-student relationship quality, green space access, family regulatory support, and autonomy-supportive school structures—each of which has documented empirical support.

The post-pandemic moment has sharpened the urgency of this theoretical work. Urban schools are rebuilding in the aftermath of a chronosystem disruption that amplified existing regulatory demands and exposed the structural inadequacies of urban school mental health infrastructure. The UEEM offers researchers a framework for systematic empirical investigation, practitioners a vocabulary for

recognizing and building upon urban youth regulatory strengths, and policymakers a theoretical foundation for ecological investments in high-density school environments.

Urban adolescents navigating high-density schools are not simply stressed individuals requiring remediation. They are developing regulatory capacities under conditions of genuine complexity—capacities that, with appropriate ecological support, may constitute meaningful developmental resources. Recognizing this complexity, naming it precisely, and building educational environments that support its adaptive expression is the central challenge to which the UEEM is directed.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

Agathos, J., Yurtbasi, M., O'Brien, H., & Putica, A. (2025). The Extended Process Model of Emotion Regulation in Managing Negative Affect in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 32,* 398-415. https://doi.org/10.1037/cps0000296

Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-Regulation Strategies across Psychopathology: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Clinical Psychology Review, 30,* 217-237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004

Aldao, A., Sheppes, G., & Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion Regulation Flexibility. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 39,* 263-278. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-014-9662-4

Ancora, L. A., Blanco-Mora, D. A., Alves, I., Bonifácio, A., Morgado, P., & Miranda, B. (2022). Cities and Neuroscience Research: A Systematic Literature Review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13,* Article 983352. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.983352

Arnberger, A., Eder, R., Allex, B., Wallner, P., Weitensfelder, L., & Hutter, H. (2024). Urban Green Space Preferences for Various Health-Related Psychological Benefits of Adolescent Pupils, University Students and Adults. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 98,* Article ID: 128396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2024.128396

Barac, R., Moreno, S., & Bialystok, E. (2016). Behavioral and Electrophysiological Differences in Executive Control between Monolingual and Bilingual Children. *Child Development, 87,* 1277-1290. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12538

Bowe, J. (2025). Recognising the Unseen: Emotional Labour in Teenage and Adolescent Care. *Journal of Social Care, 5,* Article 2. https://doi.org/10.21427/630f-z688

Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2007). The Bioecological Model of Human Development. In W. Damon, R. M. Lerner, & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of Child Psychology*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470147658.chpsy0114

Cameranesi, M., Theron, L., Höltge, J., Jefferies, P., & Ungar, M. (2022). Understanding the Mechanisms through Which Family Risk Affects Adolescent Mental Health: A Model of Multisystemic Resilience in Context. *Children, 9,* Article 546. https://doi.org/10.3390/children9040546

Carter, P. L. (2005). *Keepin' It Real: School Success beyond Black and White.* Oxford University Press.

Christenson, S. L., Reschly, A. L., & Wylie, C. (2012). *Handbook of Research on Student*

Engagement. Springer Science & Business Media.

Cipriano, C., Strambler, M. J., Naples, L. H., Ha, C., Kirk, M., Wood, M. et al. (2023). The State of Evidence for Social and Emotional Learning: A Contemporary Meta-Analysis of Universal School-Based SEL Interventions. *Child Development, 94,* 1181-1204. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13968

Compas, B. E., Jaser, S. S., Bettis, A. H., Watson, K. H., Gruhn, M. A., Dunbar, J. P. et al. (2017). Coping, Emotion Regulation, and Psychopathology in Childhood and Adolescence: A Meta-Analysis and Narrative Review. *Psychological Bulletin, 143,* 939-991. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000110

Conner, J., Posner, M., & Nsowaa, B. (2022). The Relationship between Student Voice and Student Engagement in Urban High Schools. *The Urban Review, 54,* 755-774. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-022-00637-2

Crone, E. A., & Dahl, R. E. (2012). Understanding Adolescence as a Period of Social-Affective Engagement and Goal Flexibility. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 13,* 636-650. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3313

Dadvand, P., Tischer, C., Estarlich, M., Llop, S., Dalmau-Bueno, A., López-Vicente, M. et al. (2017). Lifelong Residential Exposure to Green Space and Attention: A Population-Based Prospective Study. *Environmental Health Perspectives, 125,* Article ID: 097016. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp694

Dana, D. (2024). *Anchored: How to Befriend Your Nervous System Using Polyvagal Theory.* Random House.

De Lise, F., Luyckx, K., & Crocetti, E. (2024). Identity Matters for Well-Being: The Longitudinal Associations between Identity Processes and Well-Being in Adolescents with Different Cultural Backgrounds. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 53,* 910-926. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-023-01901-8

Evans, G. W. (2006). Child Development and the Physical Environment. *Annual Review of Psychology, 57,* 423-451. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.57.102904.190057

Evans, G. W., & Stecker, R. (2004). Motivational Consequences of Environmental Stress. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 24,* 143-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-4944(03)00076-8

Figley, C. R. (2002). Compassion Fatigue: Psychotherapists' Chronic Lack of Self Care. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 58,* 1433-1441. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.10090

Galarneau, E., Lischetzke, T., Li, X., De France, K., Lougheed, J. P., & Hollenstein, T. (2026). Developmental Stability and Change in Emotion Regulation Strategies and Strategy Repertoires across Adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 36,* e70161. https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.70161

Gee, D. G., Gabard-Durnam, L. J., Flannery, J., Goff, B., Humphreys, K. L., Telzer, E. H. et al. (2013). Early Developmental Emergence of Human Amygdala-Prefrontal Connectivity after Maternal Deprivation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110,* 15638-15643. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307893110

Geller, S. M., & Porges, S. W. (2014). Therapeutic Presence: Neurophysiological Mechanisms Mediating Feeling Safe in Therapeutic Relationships. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration, 24,* 178-192. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037511

Giraldo-García, R. J., Fogarty, L., Sanders, S., & Voight, A. (2023). Urban Secondary Students' Explanations for the School Climate-Achievement Association. *Psychology in the Schools, 60,* 4810-4825. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22872

Golberstein, E., Wen, H., & Miller, B. F. (2020). Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Mental Health for Children and Adolescents. *JAMA Pediatrics, 174,* 819-820.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.1456

Gross, J. J. (1998). The Emerging Field of Emotion Regulation: An Integrative Review. *Review of General Psychology, 2,* 271-299. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271

Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion Regulation: Current Status and Future Prospects. *Psychological Inquiry, 26,* 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840x.2014.940781

Gullone, E., & Taffe, J. (2012). The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents (ERQ-CA): A Psychometric Evaluation. *Psychological Assessment, 24,* 409-417. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025777

Hatfield, E., Cacioppo, J. T., & Rapson, R. L. (1993). Emotional Contagion. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2,* 96-100. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.ep10770953

Helps, S. K., Bamford, S., Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S., & Söderlund, G. B. W. (2014). Different Effects of Adding White Noise on Cognitive Performance of Sub-, Normal and Super-Attentive School Children. *PLOS ONE, 9,* e112768. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112768

Herman, K. C., Hickmon-Rosa, J., & Reinke, W. M. (2018). Empirically Derived Profiles of Teacher Stress, Burnout, Self-Efficacy, and Coping and Associated Student Outcomes. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 20,* 90-100. https://doi.org/10.1177/1098300717732066

Hoover, S., & Bostic, J. (2021). Schools as a Vital Component of the Child and Adolescent Mental Health System. *Psychiatric Services, 72,* 37-48. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201900575

Howard, J. L., Slemp, G. R., & Wang, X. (2025). Need Support and Need Thwarting: A Meta-Analysis of Autonomy, Competence, and Relatedness Supportive and Thwarting Behaviors in Student Populations. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 51,* 1552-1573. https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672231225364

Inzlicht, M., Werner, K. M., Briskin, J. L., & Roberts, B. W. (2021). Integrating Models of Self-Regulation. *Annual Review of Psychology, 72,* 319-345. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-061020-105721

Jang, H., Kim, E. J., & Reeve, J. (2012). Longitudinal Test of Self-Determination Theory's Motivation Mediation Model in a Naturally Occurring Classroom Context. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104,* 1175-1188. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028089

Jennings, P. A., Brown, J. L., Frank, J. L., Doyle, S., Oh, Y., Davis, R. et al. (2017). Impacts of the CARE for Teachers Program on Teachers' Social and Emotional Competence and Classroom Interactions. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 109,* 1010-1028. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000187

Jones, S. M., Brush, K. E., Ramirez, T., Mao, Z. X., Marenus, M., Wettje, S. et al. (2021). *Navigating Social and Emotional Learning from the Inside Out.* Harvard Graduate School of Education. https://doi.org/10.59656/YD-OS5671.001

Kaplan, S. (1995). The Restorative Benefits of Nature: Toward an Integrative Framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 15,* 169-182. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-4944(95)90001-2

Kliewer, W. (2006). Violence Exposure and Cortisol Responses in Urban Youth. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 13,* 109-120. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm1302_2

Kristensen, S. M., & Jeno, L. M. (2024). The Developmental Trajectories of Teacher Autonomy Support and Adolescent Mental Well-Being and Academic Stress. *Social Psychology of Education, 27,* 1-32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-024-09923-1

Kühn, S., Düzel, S., Eibich, P., Krekel, C., Wüstemann, H., Kolbe, J. et al. (2017). In Search of Features That Constitute an "Enriched Environment" in Humans: Associations between Geographical Properties and Brain Structure. *Scientific Reports, 7,* Article No. 11920. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12046-7

Lane, K. L., Oakes, W. P., & Menzies, H. M. (2021). Considerations for Systematic Screening PK-12: Universal Screening for Internalizing and Externalizing Behaviors in the COVID-19 Era. *Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth, 65,* 275-281. https://doi.org/10.1080/1045988x.2021.1908216

Lederbogen, F., Kirsch, P., Haddad, L., Streit, F., Tost, H., Schuch, P. et al. (2011). City Living and Urban Upbringing Affect Neural Social Stress Processing in Humans. *Nature, 474,* 498-501. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10190

Lonigro, A., Longobardi, E., & Laghi, F. (2023). The Interplay between Expressive Suppression, Emotional Self-Efficacy and Internalizing Behavior in Middle Adolescence. *Child & Youth Care Forum, 52,* 253-265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10566-022-09685-x

Luna, B., Marek, S., Larsen, B., Tervo-Clemmens, B., & Chahal, R. (2015). An Integrative Model of the Maturation of Cognitive Control. *Annual Review of Neuroscience, 38,* 151-170. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071714-034054

MacCann, C., Jiang, Y., Brown, L. E. R., Double, K. S., Bucich, M., & Minbashian, A. (2020). Emotional Intelligence Predicts Academic Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 146,* 150-186. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000219

Masten, A. S., Lucke, C. M., Nelson, K. M., & Stallworthy, I. C. (2021). Resilience in Development and Psychopathology: Multisystem Perspectives. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 17,* 521-549. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-081219-120307

Maxwell, L. E., & Evans, G. W. (2000). The Effects of Noise on Pre-School Children's Pre-Reading Skills. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 20,* 91-97. https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.1999.0144

McCluney, C. L., Robotham, K., Lee, S., Smith, R., & Durkee, M. (2019). *The Costs of Code-Switching.* Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2019/11/the-costs-of-codeswitching

McCoy, D. C., Dormal, M., Cuartas, J., Carreira dos Santos, A., Fink, G., & Brentani, A. (2024). The Acute Effects of Community Violence on Young Children's Regulatory, Behavioral, and Developmental Outcomes in a Low-Income Urban Sample in Brazil. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 65,* 620-630. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13799

Mendelson, T., Greenberg, M. T., Dariotis, J. K., Gould, L. F., Rhoades, B. L., & Leaf, P. J. (2010). Feasibility and Preliminary Outcomes of a School-Based Mindfulness Intervention for Urban Youth. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 38,* 985-994. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-010-9418-x

Milkie, M. A., & Warner, C. H. (2011). Classroom Learning Environments and the Mental Health of First Grade Children. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 52,* 4-22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510394952

Morris, A. S., Squeglia, L. M., Jacobus, J., & Silk, J. S. (2018). Adolescent Brain Development: Implications for Understanding Risk and Resilience Processes through Neuroimaging Research. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 28,* 4-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12379

Münzel, T., Sørensen, M., Gori, T., Schmidt, F. P., Rao, X., Brook, F. R. et al. (2017). Environmental Stressors and Cardio-Metabolic Disease: Part II—Mechanistic Insights. *European Heart Journal, 38,* 557-564. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw294

Naff, D., Williams, S., Furman-Darby, J., & Yeung, M. (2022). The Mental Health Impacts of COVID-19 on PK-12 Students: A Systematic Review of Emerging Literature. *AERA Open, 8.* https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584221084722

Nardelli, C. (2023). *Emotion Regulation Flexibility: What Does It Mean, and How Can It Be Measured and Improved?* Ph.D. Thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes. https://theses.hal.science/tel-04498005

Niven, K., Totterdell, P., & Holman, D. (2009). A Classification of Controlled Interpersonal Affect Regulation Strategies. *Emotion, 9,* 498-509. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015962

Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Watkins, E. R. (2011). A Heuristic for Developing Transdiagnostic Models of Psychopathology: Explaining Multifinality and Divergent Trajectorie. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 6,* 589-609. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691611419672

Nummenmaa, L., Hari, R., Hietanen, J. K., & Glerean, E. (2018). Maps of Subjective Feelings. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 115,* 9198-9203. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1807390115

Panchal, N., Kamal, R., Cox, C., Garfield, R., & Chidambaram, P. (2021). *Mental Health and Substance Use Considerations among Children during the COVID-19 Pandemic.* KFF. https://www.kff.org/mental-health/mental-health-and-substance-use-considerations-among-children-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/

Pianta, R. C., Hamre, B. K., & Allen, J. P. (2012). Teacher-student Relationships and Engagement: Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Improving the Capacity of Classroom Interactions. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement* (pp. 365-386). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_17

Piera Pi-Sunyer, B., Bignardi, G., García-Baquero, G., Dadvand, P., Vrijheid, M., Guxens, M. et al. (2025). Investigating the Relationship between Neighbourhood Characteristics, Perceived Social Support and Psychological Wellbeing in Spanish Adolescents. *Scientific Reports, 15,* Article No. 38845. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-22753-1

Porges, S. W. (2011). *The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, and Self-Regulation* (pp. xvii, 347). W. W. Norton & Company.

Pykett, J., Campbell, N., Fenton, S., Gagen, E., Lavis, A., Newbigging, K. et al. (2023). Urban Precarity and Youth Mental Health: An Interpretive Scoping Review of Emerging Approaches. *Social Science & Medicine, 320,* Article ID: 115619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115619

Racine, N., McArthur, B. A., Cooke, J. E., Eirich, R., Zhu, J., & Madigan, S. (2021). Global Prevalence of Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms in Children and Adolescents during COVID-19: A Meta-Analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics, 175,* 1142-1150. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.2482

Riediger, M., & Klipker, K. (2014). Emotion Regulation in Adolescence. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of Emotion Regulation* (2nd ed., pp. 187-202). The Guilford Press.

Roffey, S. (2023). ASPIRE to a Better Future: The Impact of the Pandemic on Young People, and Options for Schools Post-COVID-19. *Education Sciences, 13,* Article 623. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060623

Rosa, E. M., & Tudge, J. (2013). Urie Bronfenbrenner's Theory of Human Development: Its Evolution from Ecology to Bioecology. *Journal of Family Theory & Review, 5,* 243-258. https://doi.org/10.1111/jftr.12022

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation from a Self-Determination Theory Perspective: Definitions, Theory, Practices, and Future Directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 61,* Article ID: 101860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860

Serrano-Villar, M., Huang, K., & Calzada, E. J. (2017). Social Support, Parenting, and Social Emotional Development in Young Mexican and Dominican American Children. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 48,* 597-609. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-016-0685-9

Sharkey, P. (2010). The Acute Effect of Local Homicides on Children's Cognitive Performance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107,* 11733-11738. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000690107

Sheppes, G., Suri, G., & Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion Regulation and Psychopathology. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 11,* 379-405. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032814-112739

Skinner, E. A., & Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J. (2016). *The Development of Coping: Stress, Neurophysiology, Social Relationships, and Resilience during Childhood and Adolescence.* Springer. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1971712334846755585

Stansfeld, S., Berglund, B., Clark, C., Lopez-Barrio, I., Fischer, P., Öhrström, E. et al. (2005). Aircraft and Road Traffic Noise and Children's Cognition and Health: A Cross-National Study. *The Lancet, 365,* 1942-1949. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(05)66660-3

Stevenson, M. P., Schilhab, T., & Bentsen, P. (2018). Attention Restoration Theory II: A Systematic Review to Clarify Attention Processes Affected by Exposure to Natural Environments. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B, 21,* 227-268. https://doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2018.1505571

Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting Positive Youth Development through School-Based Social and Emotional Learning Interventions: A Meta-Analysis of Follow-Up Effects. *Child Development, 88,* 1156-1171. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864

Tong, P., & An, I. S. (2024). Review of Studies Applying Bronfenbrenner's Bioecological Theory in International and Intercultural Education Research. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14,* Article 1233925. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1233925

Tottenham, N. (2020). Early Adversity and the Neotenous Human Brain. *Biological Psychiatry, 87,* 350-358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.06.018

Ungar, M. (2018). The Differential Impact of Social Services on Young People's Resilience. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 78,* 4-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.09.024

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2025). *World Urbanization Prospects 2025.* https://population.un.org/wup/

Viner, R., Russell, S., Saulle, R., Croker, H., Stansfield, C., Packer, J. et al. (2022). School Closures during Social Lockdown and Mental Health, Health Behaviors, and Well-Being among Children and Adolescents during the First COVID-19 Wave: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Pediatrics, 176,* 400-409. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.5840

Walter, H. J., Kaye, A. J., Dennery, K. M., & DeMaso, D. R. (2019). Three-Year Outcomes of a School-Hospital Partnership Providing Multitiered Mental Health Services in Urban Schools. *Journal of School Health, 89,* 643-652. https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.12792

Weinstein, C. S., Tomlinson-Clarke, S., & Curran, M. (2004). Toward a Conception of Culturally Responsive Classroom Management. *Journal of Teacher Education, 55,* 25-38. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487103259812

Wilson, D. E. (2023). *The Polyvagal Path to Joyful Learning: Transforming Classrooms*

One Nervous System at a Time. W. W. Norton & Company.

Witherington, D. C., & Lickliter, R. (2017). Integrating Development and Evolution in Psychological Science: Evolutionary Developmental Psychology, Developmental Systems, and Explanatory Pluralism. *Human Development, 59,* 200-234. https://doi.org/10.1159/000450715

Woolner, P., Hall, E., Higgins, S., McCaughey, C., & Wall, K. (2007). A Sound Foundation? What We Know about the Impact of Environments on Learning and the Implications for Building Schools for the Future. *Oxford Review of Education, 33,* 47-70. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054980601094693

Wright, M. F., & Wachs, S. (2022). Self-isolation during the Beginning of the COVID-19 Pandemic and Adolescents' Health Outcomes: The Moderating Effect of Perceived Teacher Support. *School Psychology, 37,* 47-53. https://doi.org/10.1037/spq0000460

Yip, T., Wang, Y., Mootoo, C., & Mirpuri, S. (2019). Moderating the Association between Discrimination and Adjustment: A Meta-Analysis of Ethnic/Racial Identity. *Developmental Psychology, 55,* 1274-1298. https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000708

Zheng, W. (2024). A Cross-Cultural Perspective on Adolescents' Cognitive Flexibility and Pro-Environmental Behavior: Multilevel Evidence. *Current Psychology, 43,* 14686-14694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-05484-2